arXiv:1402.5867v1 [math.LO] 27 Jan 2014

Amalgamation, interpolation and congruence extension properties in topological cylindric algebras

Tarek Sayed Ahmed

February 25, 2014

Abstract

We study various amalgamation properties in topological cylindric algebras of all dimensions. [1]

1 Amalgamation; positive results

We turn to investigating amalgamation properties for various subclasses of TCA_α, most, but not all, consisting solely of representable algebras. We shall adress all dimensions. All our positive results in the infinite dimensional case will follow from the interpolation result proved in part 1, which we recall:

Theorem 1.1. *Let α be an infinite ordinal. let β be a cardinal. Let $\rho : \beta \to \wp(\alpha)$ such that $\alpha \sim \rho(i)$ is infinite for all $i \in \beta$. Then $\mathfrak{Fr}^\rho_\beta \mathsf{TCA}_\alpha$ has the interpolation property.*

This theorem will lead to theorem **??** showing that a certain class of algebras has the super amalgamation property, which is the main source for all positive results obtained.

All negative results (for both finite and infinite dimension) are obtained by bouncing them to the CA case, using the earlier observation made, namely, that *any CA_α can be expanded to a TCA_α such that the latter is representable if and only if the former is.* We start with the relevant definitions:

Definition 1.2. Let L be a class of algebras.

[1] Topological logic, Chang modal logic, cylindric algebras, representation theory, amalgamation, congruence extension, interpolation Mathematics subject classification: 03B50, 03B52, 03G15.

(i) $\mathfrak{A}_0 \in \mathsf{L}$ is in the *amalgamation base* of L if for all $\mathfrak{A}_1, \mathfrak{A}_2 \in \mathsf{L}$ and injective homomorphisms $i_1 : \mathfrak{A}_0 \to \mathfrak{A}_1$ $i_2 : \mathfrak{A}_0 \to \mathfrak{A}_2$ there exist $\mathfrak{D} \in \mathsf{L}$ and injective homomorphisms $m_1 : \mathfrak{A}_1 \to \mathfrak{D}$ and $m_2 : \mathfrak{A}_2 \to \mathfrak{D}$ such that $m_1 \circ i_1 = m_2 \circ i_2$. In this case, we say that $\mathfrak{D}$ is *an amalgam* of $\mathfrak{A}_1$ and $\mathfrak{A}_2$ over $\mathfrak{A}_0$, via m_1 and m_2, or simply an amalgam.

(ii) Let everything be as in (i). If, in addition, we have $m_1 \circ i_1(\mathfrak{A}_0) = m_1(\mathfrak{A}_1) \cap m_2(\mathfrak{A}_2)$ then $\mathfrak{A}_0$ is said to be in the *strong amalgamation base* of L, and like in the previous item $\mathfrak{D}$ is called a *strong amalgam*.

(iii) Let everything be as in (i) and assume that the algebras considered are endowed with a partial order. If in addition, $(\forall x \in A_j)(\forall y \in A_k)(m_j(x) \leq m_k(y) \implies (\exists z \in A_0))(x \leq i_j(z) \wedge i_k(z) \leq y))$ where $\{j, k\} = \{1, 2\}$, then we say that $\mathfrak{A}_0$ lies in the *super amalgamation base* of L, and $\mathfrak{D}$ is called *a super amalgam*.

(iv) L has the *amalgamation property*, if the amalgam base of L coincides with L. Same for strong amalgamation and super amalgamation.

We write AP, SAP and $SUPAP$ for the amalgamation, strong amalgamation and super amalgamation properties, respectively. We write $\mathsf{APbase}(\mathsf{K})$, $\mathsf{SAPbase}(\mathsf{K})$, and $\mathsf{SUPAPbase}(\mathsf{K})$, for the amalgamation, strong amalgamation, and super amalgamation base of the class K, respectively. Notice that $SUPAP$ also implies SAP by writing the extra condition for SAP as follows:

$$(\forall x \in A_1)(\forall y \in A_2)[m(x) = n(y) \implies (\exists z \in A_0)(x = f(z) \wedge y = h(z))].$$

We will sometimes seek amalgams, and for that matter strong or super amalgams, for algebras in a certain class in a possibly bigger one.

Definition 1.3. Let $\mathsf{K} \subseteq \mathsf{L}$. We say that K has *AP with respect to* L if amalgams can always be found in L. More precisely, for any $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{C} \in \mathsf{K}$ and any injective homomorphisms $f : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{B}$ and $g : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{C}$ then there exist $\mathfrak{D} \in \mathsf{L}$ and injective homomorphisms $m : \mathfrak{B} \to \mathfrak{D}$ and $n : \mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{D}$ such that $m \circ f = n \circ g$. The analogous definition applies equally well when we replace AP by SAP or $SUPAP$.

The next property is different than the amalgamation property; we do not require that both homomorphisms from the base algebra are injective; only one of them is. The definition is taken from [16].

More precisely:

Definition 1.4. A class L has the *transferable injections property*, or TIP for short, if for all $\mathfrak{A}_0, \mathfrak{A}_1, \mathfrak{A}_2 \in \mathsf{L}$ and injective homomorphism $i_1 : \mathfrak{A}_0 \to \mathfrak{A}_1$, and homomorphism $i_2 : \mathfrak{A}_0 \to \mathfrak{A}_2$ there exist $\mathfrak{D} \in \mathsf{L}$ and an injective homomorphism $m_1 : \mathfrak{A}_1 \to \mathfrak{D}$ and a homomorphism $m_2 : \mathfrak{A}_2 \to \mathfrak{D}$ such that $m_1 \circ i_1 = m_2 \circ i_2$. We say that $\mathfrak{D}$ is a TI amalgam.

From now on α is an arbitrary ordinal > 0.

Definition 1.5. Let $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathsf{TCA}_\alpha$. Then a filter F of $\mathfrak{A}$ is a Boolean filter, that satisfies in addition that $\mathsf{q}_i x = x$ for every $i < \alpha$ and every $x \in A$.

The following lemma is crucial for our later algebraic manipulations. We show that filters so defined correspond to congruences, thus filters and congruences can be treated equally giving quotient algebras.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathsf{TCA}_\alpha$. Let $\mathsf{Filt}(\mathfrak{A})$ be the lattice of filters (with inclusion) on $\mathfrak{A}$, and $\mathsf{Co}(\mathfrak{A})$be the lattice of congruences on $\mathfrak{A}$. Then $\mathsf{Filt}(\mathfrak{A}) \cong \mathsf{Co}(\mathfrak{A})$. Furthermore, Θ restricted to maximal filters is an isomorphism into the set of maximal congruences.*

Proof. The map $\Theta : \mathsf{Co}(\mathfrak{A}) \to \mathsf{Filt}(\mathfrak{A})$, defined via

$$\cong \mapsto \{x \in \mathfrak{A} : x \cong 1\},$$

is an isomorphism, with inverse $\Theta^{-1} : \mathsf{Filt}(\mathfrak{A}) \to \mathsf{Co}(\mathfrak{A})$ defined by

$$F \mapsto R = \{(a, b) \in A \times A : a \oplus b \in F\},$$

where $\oplus$ as before denotes the symmetric diference.

Indeed, let $\cong$ be a congruence on $\mathfrak{A}$. Then we show that $F = \{a \in A : a \cong 1\}$ is a filter. Let $a, b \in F$. Then $a \cong 1$ and $b \cong 1$, hence $a \cdot b \cong 1$, so that $a \cdot b \in F$. Let $a \in F$ and $a \leq b$. Then $a + b = b$ and we obtain $b = a + b \cong 1 + b = 1$. Hence $b \in F$. Now finally, assume that $a \in F$ and $i < \alpha$. T hen $a \cong 1$ so $\mathsf{q}_i a \cong \mathsf{q}_i 1 = 1$, and we are done.

Conversely, let F be a filter and let $\cong_F$ be given by $a \oplus b \in F$. Then it is straightforward to see that $\cong_F$ is a congruence with respect to the Boolean operations, cylindrifiers and substitutions. It remains to check that $\cong_F$ is a congruence with respect to the interior operators. Let $i < \alpha$. If $a \cong_F b$ then, by definition, $a \oplus b \in F$, hence $\mathsf{q}_i(a \oplus b) \in F$ be the definition of F. But $\mathsf{q}_i(a \oplus b) = \mathsf{q}_i(I_i(a) \oplus I_i(b)) \leq I_i(a) \oplus I_i(b) \in F$ by properties of filters, and the interior operator.

Now it remains to show that $F_{\cong_F} = F$ and $\cong_{F_\cong} = \cong$. We prove only the former. Let $a \in F$. Then $a = a \oplus 1$, that is $a \cong_F 1$, and so $a \in F_{\cong_F}$. Conversely, if $a \in F_{\cong_F}$, then $a \cong_F 1$, that is $a \oplus 1 \in F$, hence $a \in F$ and we are done.

Finally, if R is maximal, and $\Theta(R) = F$ is not a maximal filter, then there is a proper filter J extending F properly. Let $x \in J \sim F$. Then $(x, 1) \notin \Theta^{-1}F = R$ and $(x, 1) \in R_J$, so that R is properly contained in the proper congruence R_J which is impossible. □

If $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B} \in \mathsf{TCA}_\alpha$ and F is a filter of $\mathfrak{A}$ then $\mathfrak{A}/F$ denotes the quotient algebra $\mathfrak{A}/\cong_F$ which is a homomorphic image of $\mathfrak{A}$. If $h : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{B}$ is a homomorphism, then $kerh$ is the filter $\{a \in \mathfrak{A} : h(a) = 1\}$; we have $\mathfrak{A}/kerh \cong h(\mathfrak{A})$.

The next theorem summarizes some properties of filters that will be used in what follows without further notice. The proofs are immediate; they follow from the definitions; however we include a sketch of proof for the last item. For $X \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$, $\mathfrak{Fl}^{\mathfrak{A}}X$ denotes the filter generated by X. If $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathsf{TCA}_\alpha$ and $\Gamma \subseteq_\omega \alpha$, $\Gamma = \{i_0, \dots, i_{n-1}\}$ say, then $\mathsf{q}_{(\Gamma)}x = \mathsf{q}_{i_0}, \dots, \mathsf{q}_{i_{n-1}}x$. This does not depend on the order of the i_j's because the q_i's $(i < \alpha)$ commute, and so is well defined.

Lemma 1.7. *Let $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B} \in \mathsf{TCA}_\alpha$ with $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$. Let $X \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ and F be a filter of $\mathfrak{B}$. We then have:*

(1) $\mathfrak{Fl}^{\mathfrak{A}}X = \{a \in A : \exists n \in \omega, x_0, \dots, x_n \in X, \text{ and } \Gamma \subseteq_\omega \alpha, \mathsf{q}_{(\Gamma)}(x_0 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_n) \leq a\}$.

(2) $\mathfrak{Fl}^{\mathfrak{A}}M = \{x \in A : x \geq b \text{ for some } b \in M\}$,

(3) $M = \mathfrak{Fl}^{\mathfrak{A}}M \cap \mathfrak{B}$,

(4) If $\mathfrak{C} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ and N is a filter of $\mathfrak{C}$, then $\mathfrak{Fl}^{\mathfrak{A}}(M \cup N) = \{x \in A : b \cdot c \leq x \text{ for some } b \in M \text{ and } c \in N\}$,

(5) For every filter N of $\mathfrak{A}$ such that $N \cap B \subseteq M$, there is a filter N' in $\mathfrak{A}$ such that $N \subseteq N'$ and $N' \cap B = M$. Furthermore, if M is a maximal filter of $\mathfrak{B}$, then N' can be taken to be a maximal filter of $\mathfrak{A}$.

Proof. Only (iv) might deserve attention. The special case when $N = \{1\}$ is straightforward. The general case follows from this one, by considering $\mathfrak{A}/N$, $\mathfrak{B}/(N \cap \mathfrak{B})$ and $M/(N \cap \mathfrak{B})$, in place of $\mathfrak{A}$, $\mathfrak{B}$ and M respectively. □

The next theorem investigates the relationship of TIP and AP.

Theorem 1.8. *Let $\mathsf{K} \subseteq \mathsf{TCA}_\alpha$. If K has AP and $\mathbf{HK} = \mathsf{K}$ then K has TIP. If $\mathbf{PK} = \mathbf{K}$ and K has TIP then it has AP. In particular, if K is a variety, then TIP is equivalent to AP.*

Proof. Assume K has TIP. Then for all $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{C} \in \mathsf{K}$, $i : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{B}$, $j : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{C}$ and $x \neq y$ in $\mathfrak{B}$ (respectively, $x \neq y \in C$), there exist $\mathfrak{D}_{xy} \in \mathsf{K}$ and homomorphisms $h_{xy} : \mathfrak{B} \to \mathfrak{D}_{xy}$ and $k_{xy} : \mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{D}_{xy}$ such that $h_{xy} \circ i = k_{xy} \circ j$ and $h_{xy}(x) \neq h_{xy}(y)$ (respectively, $k_{xy}(x) \neq k_{xy}(y)$). Let $\mathfrak{D}$ be the direct product of all algebras $\mathfrak{D}_{xy}$ for all two element sets x, y. By co-universality of $\mathfrak{D}$ the homomorphisms $h_{xy} : \mathfrak{B} \to \mathfrak{D}_{xy}$ and $k_{xy} : \mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{D}_{xy}$ induce injective homomorphisms $h : \mathfrak{B} \to \mathfrak{D}$ and $k : \mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{D}$, as required.

Let $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{C} \in \mathsf{K}$, $m : \mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{A}$ be an embedding $n : \mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{B}$ be a homomorphism. Then $\mathfrak{C}/Kern \cong n(\mathfrak{C})$. Let M be a filter of $\mathfrak{A}$ such that $M \cap \mathfrak{C} = kern$. Since $\mathbf{HK} = \mathsf{K}$, then $\mathfrak{B}/M$ is in K. Now $\mathfrak{C}/kern$ embeds into $\mathfrak{B}/M$, but it also embeds into $\mathfrak{C}$. By AP in K there is a $\mathfrak{D} \in \mathsf{K}$ and $f : \mathfrak{B}/M \to \mathfrak{D}$ and $g : \mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{D}$ such that $f \circ n = g \circ m$. Then $f^* : \mathfrak{B} \to \mathfrak{D}$ defined via $f^*(\bar{b}) = f(b)$

and g is as required; that is $f^* \circ n = g \circ m$, f^* is a homomorphism and g is an embedding. □

Then the following can be proved. The references [17, 13, 29, 24, 27, 26] would help a lot. For undefined notions the reader is referred to [14, 17].

Theorem 1.9. *Let α be an infinite ordinal.*

(1) TDc_α has the super amalgamation property with respect to RTCA_α. In other words, TDc_α is contained in the super amalgamation base of RTCA_α. However, it does not have even AP.

(2) The classes of semisimple algebras and diagonal algebras (as defined in [17]) of dimension α have the amalgamation property but not the strong amalgamation property, a fortiori they fail the super amalgamation property.

(3) The free algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ on any number of free generators $\beta > 1$ of any variety between TeCA_α and RTCA_α has a weak form of interpolation, namely, if $X_1, X_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ and $a, b \in \mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}} X_1$ with $a \leq b$, then there existc $c \in \mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1 \cap X_2)$, and a finite $\Gamma \subseteq \alpha$ such that $\mathsf{q}_{(\Gamma)} a \leq c \leq \mathsf{c}_{(\Gamma)} b$, but it does not have the usual interpolation property when the number of free generators are ≥ 4.

(4) Furthermore, the existence condition on the finite set Γ in the previous item which makes it easier to find an interpolation cannot be omitted in a very strong sense. For every finite $n \geq 0$, there is an inequality $a \leq b$ such that the interpolant can be found using more than n quantified indices of $\alpha + \omega \sim \alpha$. In partticular for such n, and such inequality the Γ that provides an interpolant has to satisfy that $|\Gamma| > n$.

(5) The former result of weak interpolation is equivalent to the fact that the class of simple algebras (which is a proper class of the class of representable algebras) have the amalgamation property.

(6) The free representable algebras on any number of generators have the strong restricted interpolation property, but the free CA_α on ω free generators does not have the weak interpolation property.

(7) The variety RTCA_α has the strong embedding property, but the variety TCA_ω does not have the embedding property. The (strong) embedding property is a restricted form of the (strong) amalgamation property, namely, when the base common subagebra is required to be minimal.

(8) $\mathfrak{A}$ is in the amalgamation base of RTCA_α iff it has the $UNEP$, and it is in the super amalgamation base iff it has both NS and $SUPAP$. In particular, there are representable algebras that do not have $UNEP$.

(9) $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathsf{RTCA}_\alpha$ *has* $UNEP$ *iff* $\mathfrak{A}$ *has universal maps with respect to the neat reduct functor, in particular* $\mathfrak{Nr}$ *does not have a right adjoint, hence it is not invertible.*

(10) In any class between simple algebras and representable algebras of dimension α ES *fails, where* ES *abbreviates that epimorphisms in the categorical are surjective.*

Then the following can be proved. The references [17, 13, 29, 24, 27, 26] would help a lot. For undefined notions the reader is referred to [14, 17].

Theorem 1.10. *Let* α *be an infinite ordinal.*

(1) TDc_α *has the super amalgamation property with respect to* RTCA_α*. In other words,* TDc_α *is contained in the super amalgamation base of* RTCA_α*. However, it does not have even AP.*

(2) The classes of semisimple algebras and diagonal algebras (as defined in [17]) of dimension α *have the amalgamation property but not the strong amalgamation property, a fortiori they fail the super amalgamation property.*

(3) The free algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ *on any number of free generators* $\beta > 1$ *of any variety between* TeCA_α *and* RTCA_α *has a weak form of interpolation, namely, if* $X_1, X_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ *and* $a, b \in \mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}} X_1$ *with* $a \leq b$*, then there existc* $c \in \mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1 \cap X_2)$*, and a finite* $\Gamma \subseteq \alpha$ *such that* $\mathsf{q}_{(\Gamma)} a \leq c \leq \mathsf{c}_{(\Gamma)} b$*, but it does not have the usual interpolation property when the number of free generators are* ≥ 4*.*

(4) Furthermore, the existence condition on the finite set Γ *in the previous item which makes it easier to find an interpolation cannot be omitted in a very strong sense. For every finite* $n \geq 0$*, there is an inequality* $a \leq b$ *such that the interpolant can be found using more than* n *quantified indices of* $\alpha + \omega \sim \alpha$*. In partticular for such* n*, and such inequality the* Γ *that provides an interpolant has to satisfy that* $|\Gamma| > n$*.*

(5) The former result of weak interpolation is equivalent to the fact that the class of simple algebras (which is a proper class of the class of representable algebras) have the amalgamation property.

(6) The free representable algebras on any number of generators have the strong restricted interpolation property, but the free CA_α *on* ω *free generators does not have the weak interpolation property.*

(7) The variety RTCA_α *has the strong embedding property, but the variety* TCA_ω *does not have the embedding property. The (strong) embedding property is a restricted form of the (strong) amalgamation property, namely, when the base common subagebra is required to be minimal.*

(8) $\mathfrak{A}$ *is in the amalgamation base of* RTCA_α *iff it has the* $UNEP$*, and it is in the super amalgamation base iff it has both* NS *and* $SUPAP$*. In particular, there are representable algebras that do not have* $UNEP$*.*

(9) $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathsf{RTCA}_\alpha$ *has* $UNEP$ *iff* $\mathfrak{A}$ *has universal maps with respect to the neat reduct functor, in particular* $\mathfrak{Nr}$ *does not have a right adjoint, hence it is not invertible.*

(10) In any class between simple algebras and representable algebras of dimension α ES *fails, where* ES *abbreviates that epimorphisms in the categorical are surjective.*

We recall the definitions from [29]:

Definition 1.11. [29, def. 5.2.1] Let $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathsf{RTCA}_\alpha$. Then $\mathfrak{A}$ has the $UNEP$ (short for unique neat embedding property) if for all $\mathfrak{A}' \in \mathsf{TCA}_\alpha$, $\mathfrak{B}$, $\mathfrak{B}' \in \mathsf{TCA}_{\alpha+\omega}$, isomorphism $i : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A}'$, embeddings $e_A : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha\mathfrak{B}$ and $e_{A'} : \mathfrak{A}' \to \mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha\mathfrak{B}'$ such that $\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{B}}e_A(A) = \mathfrak{B}$ and $\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{B}'}e_{A'}(A)' = \mathfrak{B}'$, there exists an isomorphism $\bar{i} : \mathfrak{B} \to \mathfrak{B}'$ such that $\bar{i} \circ e_A = e_{A'} \circ i$.

Definition 1.12. [29, def. 5.2.2] Let $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathsf{RTCA}_\alpha$. Then $\mathfrak{A}$ has the NS property (short for neat reducts commuting with forming subalgebras) if for all $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathsf{TCA}_{\alpha+\omega}$ if $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha\mathfrak{B}$ then for all $X \subseteq A$, $\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X = \mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{B}}X$.

Lemma 1.13. *If* $\alpha \geq \omega$ *and* $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathsf{TDc}_\alpha$ *then* $\mathfrak{A}$ *has* NS *and* $UNEP$*.*

Proof. [7, Theorem 2.6.67-71-72]. □

Theorem 1.14. *Let* α *be an infinite ordinal.*

(1) $\mathsf{TDc}_\alpha \subseteq \mathsf{SUPAPbase}(\mathsf{RTCA}_\alpha)$*.*

(2) TLf_α *has* $SUPAP$*.*

Proof. For the first part. Let $\beta = \alpha + \omega$. Let $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathsf{Dc}_\alpha$, let $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B} \in \mathsf{RTCA}_\alpha$, and let $f : \mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{A}$ and $g : \mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{B}$ be injective homomorphisms. Then there exist $\mathfrak{A}^+, \mathfrak{B}^+, \mathfrak{C}^+ \in \mathsf{TCA}_\beta$, $e_A : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha\mathfrak{A}^+$ $e_B : \mathfrak{B} \to \mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha\mathfrak{B}^+$ and $e_C : \mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha\mathfrak{C}^+$. We can assume, without loss, that $\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}^+}e_A(A) = \mathfrak{A}^+$ and similarly for $\mathfrak{B}^+$ and $\mathfrak{C}^+$. Let $f(C)^+ = \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}^+}e_A(f(C))$ and $g(C)^+ = \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{B}^+}e_B(g(C))$, so that $\mathfrak{A}^+, \mathfrak{B}^+$ and $\mathfrak{C}^+$ are in $\mathbf{K}$. Then by the $UNEP$, there exist $\bar{f} : \mathfrak{C}^+ \to f(C)^+$ and $\bar{g} : \mathfrak{C}^+ \to g(C)^+$ such that $(e_A \restriction f(C)) \circ f = \bar{f} \circ e_C$ and $(e_B \restriction g(C)) \circ g = \bar{g} \circ e_C$.

Both $\bar{f}$ and $\bar{g}$ are injective homomorphisms and $\mathbf{K}$ has $SUPAP$, hence there is a $\mathfrak{D}^+$ in $\mathbf{K}$ and $k : \mathfrak{A}^+ \to \mathfrak{D}^+$ and $h : \mathfrak{B}^+ \to \mathfrak{D}^+$ such that $k \circ \bar{f} = h \circ \bar{g}$. Also k and h are injective homomorphisms. Then $k \circ e_A : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha \mathfrak{D}^+$ and $h \circ e_B : \mathfrak{B} \to \mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha \mathfrak{D}^+$ are one to one and $k \circ e_A \circ f = h \circ e_B \circ g$. Let $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha \mathfrak{D}^+$. Then we have obtained $\mathfrak{D} \in \mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha \mathsf{TCA}_{\alpha+\omega}$ and $m : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{D}$, $n : \mathfrak{B} \to \mathfrak{D}$ such that $m \circ f = n \circ g$. Here $m = k \circ e_A$ and $n = h \circ e_B$. We have proved AP.

Denote k by m^+ and h by n^+. We further want to show that if $m(a) \leq n(b)$, for $a \in A$ and $b \in B$, then there exists $t \in \mathfrak{C}$ such that $a \leq f(t)$ and $g(t) \leq b$. So let a and b be as indicated. We have $(m^+ \circ e_A)(a) \leq (n^+ \circ e_B)(b)$, so $m^+(e_A(a)) \leq n^+(e_B(b))$. Since $\mathbf{K}$ has $SUPAP$, there exist $z \in C^+$ such that $[e_A(a)] \leq \bar{f}(z)$ and $\bar{g}(z) \leq [e_B(b)]$. Let $\Gamma = \Delta z \sim \alpha$ and $z' = \mathsf{c}_{(\Gamma)} z$. (Note that Γ is finite.) So, we obtain that $e_A(\mathsf{c}_{(\Gamma)} a) \leq \bar{f}(\mathsf{c}_{(\Gamma)} z)$ and $\bar{g}(\mathsf{c}_{(\Gamma)} z) \leq e_B(\mathsf{c}_{(\Gamma)} b)$. It follows that $e_A(a) \leq \bar{f}(z')$ and $\bar{g}(z') \leq e_B(b)$. Now by the NS property for TDc, we have $z' \in \mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha \mathfrak{C}^+ = \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha \mathfrak{C}^+}(e_C(C)) = e_C(C)$. So, there exists $t \in C$ with $z' = e_C(t)$. Then we get $e_A(a) \leq \bar{f}(e_C(t))$ and $\bar{g}(e_C(t)) \leq e_B(b)$. It follows that $e_A(a) \leq (e_A \circ f)(t)$ and $(e_B \circ g)(t) \leq e_B(b)$. Hence, $a \leq f(t)$ and $g(t) \leq b$. We are done. For the locally finite case, one takes the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha \mathfrak{D}$ (as constructed above) generated by the images of $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ which are now locally finite, as an amalgam, and hence as a super amalgam. This algebra is necessarily locally finite. □

In the above theorem, we do not guarantee that the super amalgam is found inside TDc_α, for the subalgebra of the amalgam as formed for the locally finite case may not be in TDc_α. Indeed, we have:

Theorem 1.15. *For $\alpha \geq \omega$, TDc_α does not have AP.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B} \in \mathsf{TDc}_\alpha$, such that their minimal subalgebras are isomorphic and for which there exist $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $y \in \mathfrak{B}$, such that $\Delta x \cup \Delta y = \alpha$. Clearly such algebras cannot be amalgamated by a Dc_α over the common minimal subalgebra $\mathfrak{M}$ say of $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$, embedded into each by the inclusion map i. For if $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathsf{TDc}_\alpha$ and $m : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{C}$ and $n : \mathfrak{B} \to \mathfrak{C}$, such that $m \circ i = n \circ i$, then $\Delta(m(x) + n(y)) = \alpha$ which is not possible because the amalgam is assumed to be dimension complemented. □

Using the same argument as in theorem 1.8 it can be shown:

Theorem 1.16. *If $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathsf{TDc}_\alpha$, $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B} \in \mathsf{TRCA}_\alpha$ $n : \mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{A}$ an embedding and $m : \mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{B}$ a homomorphism, then there exists $\mathfrak{D} \in \mathsf{TRCA}_\alpha$ a homomorphism $f : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{D}$ and an embedding $g : \mathfrak{B} \to \mathfrak{D}$ such that $f \circ n = g \circ m$.*

Now we deal with concepts that are localizations of the amalgamation property; in the sense that various amalgamation properties will be proved to hold for a class of algebras if the free algebras of such classes enjoy such local properties, typically *interpolation* properties. We have already dealt with one such property; we introduce weaker ones.

Definition 1.17. Let α be any ordinal (finite included) and $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathsf{TCA}_\alpha$. Then

(1) $\mathfrak{A}$ has the *weak interpolation property*, WIP for short, if for all $X_1, X_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$, for all $x \in \mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}} X_1$, $z \in \mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}} X_2$ if $x \leq z$, then there exist $\Gamma \subseteq_\omega \alpha$ and $y \in \mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1 \cap X_2)$ such that

$$\mathsf{q}_{(\Gamma)} x \leq y \leq \mathsf{c}_{(\Gamma)} z.$$

(2) $\mathfrak{A}$ has the *universal interpolation property*, UIP for short, if for all $X_1, X_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$, for all $x \in \mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}} X_1$, $z \in \mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}} X_2$ if $x \leq z$, then there exist $\Gamma \subseteq_\omega \alpha$ and $y \in \mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1 \cap X_2)$ such that

$$\mathsf{q}_{(\Gamma)} x \leq y \leq z.$$

(3) $\mathfrak{A}$ has the *existential interpolation property*, EIP for short, if if for all $X_1, X_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$, for all $x \in \mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}} X_1$, $z \in \mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}} X_2$ if $x \leq z$, then there exist $\Gamma \subseteq_\omega \alpha$ and $y \in \mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1 \cap X_2)$ such that

$$x \leq y \leq \mathsf{c}_{(\Gamma)} z.$$

The following theorem is proved by a *compactness argument* taken from [25]. The proof works only for infinite dimension. We shall see that the theorem fails when the dimension is finite. It can be used in even a much wider context, saying that if the *dimension restricted free* algebras in ω extra dimensions have the interpolation property, then the free algebras *without any restrictions* have a natural weak form of interpolation. The idea is that an interpolant can always be found if we allow infinitely many more dimensions (variables), though only finitely many are used in the interpolant. Then quantifiers may be used to get rid of the extra variables bouncing the interpolant back to using only the number of available variables. For a term σ in the language of TCA_α, $\mathsf{Var}(\sigma)$ denotes the set of variables occuring in σ.

Theorem 1.18. *Let $\alpha \geq \omega$. Let K be a class of algebras such that $\mathsf{RTCA}_\alpha \subseteq \mathsf{K} \subseteq \mathsf{TCA}_\alpha$. Then for any terms of the language of TCA_α, σ, τ say, if $\mathsf{K} \models \sigma \leq \tau$, then there exist a term π with $\mathsf{Var}(\pi) \subseteq \mathsf{Var}(\sigma) \cap \mathsf{Var}(\tau)$ and a finite $\Delta \subseteq \alpha$ such that*

$$\mathsf{K} \models \mathsf{q}_{(\Delta)} \sigma \leq \pi \leq \mathsf{c}_{(\Delta)} \tau.$$

In particular, for any non-zero cardinal β, $\mathfrak{Fr}_\beta \mathsf{K}$, has the WIP.

Proof. The same argument in [25] but now using theorem 1.1. □

We will show that the above form of interpolation is the best possible for such classes K, witness theorem 3.6. Furthermore it fails for finite dimensions, theorem 3.3.

We have proved that for $\alpha \geq \omega$, TDc_α lies in the super amalgamation base of TRCA_α. In what follows we define larger classes of algebras, still retaining an amalgamation property. We lose the *strong* amalgamation property, but in return in such cases the amalgam is always found inside the class in question.

The following class was introduced by Monk for cylindric algebras under the name of *Diagonal cylindric algebras*, and was denoted by Di_α in [17]. In the last reference Pigozzi proved that this class has AP. We obtain an analogous result, but we define the class differently, allowing generalization to diagonal free algebras. We use the term definable substitutions corresponding to replacements. In what follows α, unless indicated otherwise, is infinite.

Definition 1.19. $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathsf{TCA}_\alpha$ is called a *substitution algebra of dimension* α, if for all non-zero x in A, for all finite $\Gamma \subseteq \alpha$, there exist distinct $i, j \in \alpha \sim \Gamma$, such that $\mathsf{s}_i^j x \neq 0$. Let TSc_α denote the class of substitution algebras.

We know that any simple algebra is in Sc_α and semi-simple algebras are subdirect products of simple ones. This does not guarantee that the class of semi-simple algebras is contained in Sc_α because we cannot assume a priori that the latter class is closed under products. However, as it happens, we have:

Theorem 1.20. *If* $\mathfrak{A}$ *is semi-simple or* $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathsf{TDc}_\alpha$*, then* $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathsf{Sc}_\alpha$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathsf{TDc}_\alpha$ $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ be non zero, and $\Gamma \subseteq_\omega \alpha$. Choose $i, j \in \alpha \sim \Delta x$. Then $\mathsf{s}_i^j x = x \neq 0$. Let $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathsf{Sc}_\alpha$, Γ be a finite subset of α and $x \in \mathfrak{A} \sim \{0\}$. Using Zorn's lemma one can find a maximal filter F of $\mathfrak{A}$ such that $x \notin F$. Since F is maximal then $\mathfrak{A}/F$ is simple. But $x \notin F$, hence there exists a finite $\Delta \subseteq \alpha$, such that $\mathsf{c}_{(\Delta)}(x/F) = \mathsf{c}_{(\Delta)}x/F = 1$.

Let $i, j \in \alpha \sim (\Gamma \cup \Delta)$, then we claim that $\mathsf{s}_i^j x \neq 0$. If not, then

$$0 = (\mathsf{c}_{(\Delta)}\mathsf{s}_i^j x)/F = (\mathsf{s}_i^j \mathsf{c}_{(\Delta)} x)/F = \mathsf{c}_{(\Delta)}x/F = 1,$$

which is impossible. □

Theorem 1.21. $\mathsf{Sc}_\alpha \subseteq \mathsf{RTCA}_\alpha$. *Furthermore, the class* Sc_α *has* AP *and* TIP. *If the algebras to be amalgamated are semi-simple then the amalgam can be chosen to be semisimple, too. The same holds when we replace semi-simple by simple.*

Proof. The same argument in [17, Theorem 2.2.24] using theorem **??**. □

Theorem 1.22. $\mathbf{SUp}\mathsf{TSc}_\alpha = \mathsf{RTCA}_\alpha$.

Proof. From theorem 1.21 since $\mathsf{TDc}_\alpha \subseteq \mathsf{Sc}_\alpha$. □

The following class is the TCA analogue of the class of cylindric algebras introduced in item (iii) of theorem 2.6.50. Using the neat embedding theorem [31, Theorem 4.2(2)] yet again, together with ultraproducts, we show that such a class consists only of representable TCA_αs, furthermore, it is easy to see that TSc_α is contained in it, and as we shall see in a minute properly.

Definition 1.23. $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathsf{TCA}_\alpha$ is called a *weak substitution algebra*, a TWSc_α for short, if for every finite injective map ρ into α, and for every $x \in A$, $x \neq 0$, there is a function h and $k < \alpha$ such that h is an endomorphism of $\mathfrak{Rd}^\rho\mathfrak{A}$, $k \in \alpha \sim \mathsf{rng}(\rho)$, $\mathsf{c}_k \circ h = h$ and $h(x) \neq 0$.

The following theorem can be proved exactly like in [7, Theorem 2.6. 50(iii)] using the hitherto established neat embedding theorem [31, Theorem 4.2 (2)].

Theorem 1.24. *For any infinite ordinal α, $\mathsf{TWSc}_\alpha \subseteq \mathsf{TRCA}_\alpha$.*

Theorem 1.25. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) TWSc_α *is elementary.*

(2) TWSc_α *is closed under ultraproducts.*

(3) $\mathsf{TWSc}_\alpha = \mathsf{TRCA}_\alpha$.

(4) TWSc_α *is a variety.*

Proof. (i) (1) $\to$ (2) is trivial.

(ii) (2) $\to$ (3) If TWSc_α is closed under ultraproducts, then because it is closed under forming subalgebras, we have $\mathsf{TWSc}_\alpha = \mathbf{SUp}\mathsf{TWSc}_\alpha = \mathsf{TRCA}_\alpha$.

(iii) (3) $\to$ (4) Since RTCA_α is a variety.

(iv) (4) $\to$ (1) Trivial.

□

We let TSs_α stand for semisimple algebras. In the next example we show that the inclusions

$$\mathsf{TDc}_\alpha \subseteq \mathsf{TSs}_\alpha \subseteq \mathsf{TSc}_\alpha \subseteq \mathsf{TWSs}_\alpha \subseteq \mathsf{RTCA}_\alpha$$

are all proper for $\alpha \geq \omega$, for the CA case witness [7, Remark 2.6.51].

But first we need a definition.

Definition 1.26. Let α be any ordinal > 1 and $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathsf{TCA}_\alpha$. Then I is an ideal in $\mathfrak{A}$ iff I is an ideal in $\mathfrak{Rd}_{ca}\mathfrak{A}$.

It can be easily checked that this definition is sound in the sense that ideals so defined correpond to congruences (hence to filters) via $\cong \mapsto \{a \in A : a \cong 0\}$.

Example 1.27. (1) For the first inclusion. Let $m \geq 2$ be a finite ordinal. Take $\mathfrak{A} = \wp({}^{\alpha}m)$, it is easy to see that $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathsf{TSc}_{\alpha}$. However, $\mathfrak{A}$ is not in TDc_{α} because for every $s \in {}^{\alpha}m$, we have $\Delta(\{s\}) = \alpha$. We show that $\mathfrak{A}$ is not even semi-simple by showing that for any constant map $f : \alpha \to m$, the singleton $\{f\}$ is in all the maximal proper ideals. Let f be such a map. Let $X = \{f\}$. Let J be a maximal proper ideal. Assume for contradiction that $X \notin J$. Then $X/J \neq 0$ in $\mathfrak{A}/J$. Since $\mathfrak{A}/J$ is simple, then the ideal generated by X/J coincides with $\mathfrak{A}/J$, so there exists a finite $\Gamma \subseteq \alpha$ such that $\mathsf{c}_{(\Gamma)}(X/J) = \mathsf{c}_{(\Gamma)}X/J = 1$. This means that $\mathsf{c}_{(\Gamma)}X \notin J$, but J is maximal, hence $-\mathsf{c}_{(\Gamma)}X \in J$. Now let $k \in \alpha \sim \Gamma$, and let t be the sequence that agrees with f everywhere except at k, where its value is $\neq f(k)$. Then $t \in -\mathsf{c}_{(\Gamma)}X$, so $\{t\} \in J$ by maximality of J. But $X \subseteq \mathsf{c}_k\{t\}$, so $X \in J$ which is impossible.

(2) let $\mathfrak{A} = \wp({}^{\alpha}\alpha)$; then of course $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathsf{TRCA}_{\alpha}$. We show that $\mathfrak{A} \notin \mathsf{TSc}_{\alpha}$. Let Θ be a bijection from α to α and consider the element $x = \{\Theta\} \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Then for any distinct $i, j \in \alpha$ $\mathsf{s}^j_i x = 0$, because $\sigma \in \mathsf{s}^j_i x$ iff $\sigma \circ [i|j] = \Theta$ which is impossible, because $\sigma \circ [i|j](i) = \sigma \circ [i|j](j)$.

We now show that $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathsf{TWSc}_{\alpha}$. Let $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ be non-zero. Let ρ be a one to one finite function with $\mathsf{rng}(\rho) \subseteq \alpha$. We want to find H as in the conclusion of the definition of a TWSc_{α}. Let $\tau \in {}^{\alpha}\alpha$ such that $k \notin \mathsf{rng}\tau$, $\tau \restriction \mathsf{rng}\rho \subseteq Id$ and τ is one to one. Let $H : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A}$ by $H(Y) = \{\phi \in \wp({}^{\alpha}\alpha) : \phi \circ \tau \in Y\}$. Then H is as required.

Corollary 1.28. *Any class* K*, such that* $\mathsf{TLf}_{\alpha} \subseteq \mathsf{K} \subseteq \mathsf{TSs}_{\alpha}$ *is not closed under ultraproducts, hence is not elementary. The class of semisimple algebras is not closed under* $\mathbf{H}$*.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a simple, locally finite, non-discrete cylindric algebra of dimension α, Here non-discrete means that there is an $i \in \alpha$ such that $\mathsf{c}_i \neq Id$ expanded by $I_i = Id$ for all $i < \alpha$.

Let I be an infinite set and $J = \{\Gamma : \Gamma \subseteq I, |\Gamma| < \omega\}$. For $\Gamma \in J$, let $M_{\Gamma} = \{\Delta \in J : \Gamma \subseteq \Delta\}$. Let F be an ultrafilter on J that contains M_{Γ} for every $\Gamma \in J$; clearly exists for $M_{\Gamma_1} \cap M_{\Gamma_2} = M_{\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2}$.

Let $\mathfrak{B}$ be the following ultrapower of $\mathfrak{A}$, $\mathfrak{B} = {}^{J}\mathfrak{A}/F$. Then it is proved in [7, remark 2.4.59] that $\mathfrak{Rd}_{ca}\mathfrak{B}$ is not semi-simple and not dimension complemented, hence $\mathfrak{B}$ is not semi-simple, because I is an ideal in $\mathfrak{B}$ if and only if it is an ideal in $\mathfrak{Rd}_{ca}\mathfrak{B}$. Obviously $\mathfrak{B}$ is also not dimension complemented and we are done.

For the last part since $\mathbf{SPSs}_{\alpha} = \mathsf{Ss}_{\alpha}$ and the latter is not a variety, hence $\mathbf{HSs}_{\alpha} \neq \mathsf{Ss}_{\alpha}$.

□

Corollary 1.29. TSc_α *is not closed under ultraproducts hence it is not first order axiomatizable, least a variety.*

Proof. TSc_α is clearly closed under forming subalgebras, hence by theorem 1.22 and example 1.27, it is not closed under ultraproducts. □

2 Interpolation and amalgamation

If we do not have an order (as we do now) what corresponds locally to amalgamation properties, are *congruence extension properties*. So let us see how these relate to the interpolation properties defined earlier. Recall that for an algebra $\mathfrak{A}$, $\mathsf{Co}\mathfrak{A}$ stands for the set of all congruence relations on $\mathfrak{A}$.

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be an algebra, and $C \subseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{B}\subseteq\mathfrak{A}} \mathsf{Co}\mathfrak{A}$. $\mathfrak{A}$ is said to have *the congruence extension property relative to* C if for any $X_1, X_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ such that $X_1 \cap X_2 = X$, if $R \in \mathsf{Co}(\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_1) \cap C$ and $S \in \mathsf{Co}(\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_2) \cap C$, such that $R \cap {}^2\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1 \cap X_2) = S \cap {}^2\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1 \cap X_2)$, then there is a $T \in (\mathsf{Co}\mathfrak{A}) \cap C$ such that $T \cap {}^2\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_1 = R$ and $T \cap {}^2\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_2 = S$. If $C = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{B}\subseteq\mathfrak{A}} \mathsf{Co}\mathfrak{A}$, we say that $\mathfrak{A}$ has *the congruence extension property*, or CP for short.

From now on α is an arbitrary ordinal > 0. We next formulate the above property for free algebras in various forms. We will see that properties of the free algebras of a variety may be reflected in properties of the corresponding equational consequence relations of the variety, in particular we may focus on properties of the equational consequence relation for a *countable* list of variables, and this enables us to restrict our attention to countable free algebras only as shown by G. Metcalfe et al. [16]. The *Pigozzi property* PP, the *Robinson property* RP, the *Maehara interpolation property* MIP, *the deductive interpolation property* DIP are defined in [16]. *Countable* MIP, *countable* DIP and *countable* RP, are the restriction of such properties when the variables available are countable.

We note that MIP is the interpolation property corresponding to TIP which in turn is equivalent in varieties in which congruences of subalgebras of an algebra lift to congruences of the algebra (which is our case)[2] to AP [16].

Theorem 2.2. *Let* α *be an ordinal* > 0. *Let* V *be a subvariety of* TCA_α. *Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) V *has the* TIP.

[2]This property is referred to in the literature as the *congruence extension property*, but we do not use this term here for we have reserved the term congruence extension property for a different property.

(2) V has the (countable) MIP.

(3) V has the (countable) DIP.

(4) V has AP.

(5) Finitely generated algebras in V has AP

(6) The free algebras have the CP.

(7) The (countable) free algebras have the UIP.

(8) The (countable) free algebras have EIP.

(9) V has PP.

(10) V has the (countable) RP.

Proof. It is known that for any variety V [16] all of (1)-(6) and (9)-(10) are equivalent to each other.

We prove (4) $\implies$ (6) in a form to be used later on. Assume that V has AP and let $\mathfrak{A}$ be the free algebra on a non empty set of generators. For $R \in \mathsf{Co}\mathfrak{A}$ and $X \subseteq A$, by $(\mathfrak{A}/R)^{(X)}$ we understand the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{A}/R$ generated by $\{x/R : x \in X\}$. We want to show that $\mathfrak{A}$ has CP. Let $\mathfrak{A}$, X_1, X_2, R and S be as specified in in the definition of CP. Define

$$\theta : \mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1 \cap X_2) \to \mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}}X_1/R$$

by

$$a \mapsto a/R.$$

Then $ker\theta = R \cap {}^2\mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1 \cap X_2)$ and $Im\theta = (\mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}}X_1/R)^{(X_1 \cap X_2)}$. It follows that

$$\bar{\theta} : \mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1 \cap X_2)/R \cap {}^2\mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1 \cap X_2) \to (\mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}}X_1/R)^{(X_1 \cap X_2)}$$

defined by

$$a/R \cap {}^2\mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1 \cap X_2) \mapsto a/R$$

is a well defined isomorphism. Similarly

$$\bar{\psi} : \mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1 \cap X_2)/S \cap {}^2\mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1 \cap X_2) \to (\mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}}X_2/S)^{(X_1 \cap X_2)}$$

defined by

$$a/S \cap {}^2\mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1 \cap X_2) \mapsto a/S$$

is also a well defined isomorphism. But

$$R \cap {}^2\mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1 \cap X_2) = S \cap {}^2\mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1 \cap X_2),$$

Hence

$$\phi : (\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_1/R)^{(X_1\cap X_2)} \to (\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_2/S)^{(X_1\cap X_2)}$$

defined by

$$a/R \mapsto a/S$$

is a well defined isomorphism. Now $(\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_1/R)^{(X_1\cap X_2)}$ embeds into $\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_1/R$ via the inclusion map; it also embeds in $\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_2/S$ via $i\circ\phi$ where i is also the inclusion map. For brevity let $\mathfrak{A}_0 = (\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_1/R)^{(X_1\cap X_2)}$, $\mathfrak{A}_1 = \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_1/R$ and $\mathfrak{A}_2 = \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_2/S$ and $j = i\circ\phi$. Then $\mathfrak{A}_0$ embeds in $\mathfrak{A}_1$ and $\mathfrak{A}_2$ via i and j respectively. Then there exists $\mathfrak{B}\in V$ and injective homomorphisms f and g from $\mathfrak{A}_1$ and $\mathfrak{A}_2$ respectively to $\mathfrak{B}$ such that $f\circ i = g\circ j$. Let

$$\bar{f} : \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_1 \to \mathfrak{B}$$

be defined by

$$a \mapsto f(a/R)$$

and

$$\bar{g} : \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_2 \to \mathfrak{B}$$

be defined by

$$a \mapsto g(a/R).$$

Let $\mathfrak{B}'$ be the algebra generated by $\mathsf{rng}f \cup \mathsf{rng}g$. Then $\bar{f}\cup\bar{g} \restriction X_1\cup X_2 \to \mathfrak{B}'$ is a function since $\bar{f}$ and $\bar{g}$ coincide on $X_1\cap X_2$. By freeness of $\mathfrak{A}$, there exists $h : \mathfrak{A}\to\mathfrak{B}'$ such that $h\restriction_{X_1\cup X_2} = \bar{f}\cup\bar{g}$. Let $T = kerh$. Then it is not hard to check that

$$T\cap {}^2\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_1 = R \text{ and } T\cap {}^2\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_2 = S.$$

T induces the required congruence.

(6) $\implies$ (7). Let $x\in\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_1$, $z\in\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_2$ and assume that $x\leq z$. Then

$$z\in(\mathfrak{Fl}^{\mathfrak{A}}\{x\})\cap\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_1).$$

Let

$$M = \mathfrak{Fl}^{\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_1}\{x\} \text{ and } N = \mathfrak{Fl}^{\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_2}(M\cap\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1\cap X_2)).$$

Then

$$M\cap\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1\cap X_2) = N\cap\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1\cap X_2).$$

By identifying ideals with congruences, and using the congruence extension property, there is a filter P of $\mathfrak{A}$ such that

$$P\cap\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_1 = N \text{ and } P\cap\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_2 = M.$$

It follows that

$$\mathfrak{Fl}^{\mathfrak{A}}(N\cup M)\cap\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_1\subseteq P\cap\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_1 = N.$$

Hence

$$(\mathfrak{Fl}^{(\mathfrak{A})}\{z\}) \cap \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_1 \subseteq N.$$

and we have

$$z \in \mathfrak{Fl}^{\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_1}[\mathfrak{Fl}^{\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_2}\{x\} \cap \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1 \cap X_2).]$$

his implies that there is an element y such that

$$z \geq y \in \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1 \cap X_2),$$

and $y \in \mathfrak{Fl}^{\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_2}\{x\}$. Hence, there exists a finite $\Gamma \subseteq \alpha$ such that $y \geq \mathsf{q}_{(\Gamma)}x$, so we get

$$\mathsf{q}_{(\Gamma)}x \leq y \leq z.$$

(7) $\implies$ (1). Let $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{C} \in V$, with inclusions $m : \mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{A}$, $n : \mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{B}$. We want to find an amalgam. Let $\mathfrak{D}$ be the free algebra on $|D|$ generators, where $|D| > max|B|, |C|$ for all $i < |D|$. Let $h : \mathfrak{D} \to \mathfrak{C}$, $h_1 : \mathfrak{D} \to \mathfrak{A}$, $h_2 : \mathfrak{D} \to \mathfrak{B}$ be homomorphisms such that for $x \in h^{-1}(\mathfrak{C})$,

$$h_1(x) = m \circ h(x) = n \circ h(x) = h_2(x).$$

Such homomorphisms clearly exist by the freeness, cardinality of $\mathfrak{D}$, and the fact that $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{C} \in V$, Let $\mathfrak{D}_1 = h_1^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})$ and $\mathfrak{D}_2 = h_2^{-1}(\mathfrak{B})$. Then $h_1 : \mathfrak{D}_1 \to \mathfrak{A}$, and $h_2 : \mathfrak{D}_2 \to \mathfrak{B}$. Let $M = kerh_1$ and $N = kerh_2$, and let $\bar{h_1} : \mathfrak{D}_1/M \to \mathfrak{A}, \bar{h_2} : \mathfrak{D}_2/N \to \mathfrak{B}$ be the induced isomorphisms. Let $l_1 : h^{-1}(\mathfrak{C})/h^{-1}(\mathfrak{C}) \cap M \to \mathfrak{C}$ be defined via $\bar{x} \to h(x)$, and $l_2 : h^{-1}(\mathfrak{C})/h^{-1}(\mathfrak{C}) \cap N$ to $\mathfrak{C}$ be defined via $\bar{x} \to h(x)$. Then those are well defined, and hence $k^{-1}(\mathfrak{C}) \cap M = h^{-1}(\mathfrak{C}) \cap N$. We show that $\mathfrak{Fl}^{\mathfrak{D}}(M \cup N)$ is a proper filter of $\mathfrak{D}$ and that $\mathfrak{D}/P$ is the required amalgam. Let $x \in \mathfrak{Fl}^{\mathfrak{D}}(M \cup N) \cap \mathfrak{D}_1$. Then there exist $b \in M$ and $c \in N$ such that $b \cdot c \leq x$. Thus $c \leq x + -b$. But $x + -b \in \mathfrak{D}_1$ and $c \in \mathfrak{D}_2$, it follows by assumption that there exist $d \in \mathfrak{D}_1 \cap \mathfrak{D}_2$ such that $\mathsf{q}_{(\Gamma)}c \leq d \leq x + -b$. Notice that $c \in N$ so $\mathsf{q}_{(\Gamma)}c \in N$, hence $d \in N$, so $d \in M$, because $M \cap \mathfrak{D}_1 \cap \mathfrak{D}_2 = N \cap \mathfrak{D}_1 \cap \mathfrak{D}_2$. Hence $x \in M$. Thus $P = \mathfrak{Fl}^{\mathfrak{D}}(M \cup N)$ is proper, and $\mathfrak{D}/P$ is the required amalgam.

Countable free algebras have UIP implies AP, because we can restrict our attention only to countable algebras being amalgamated. AP equivalent to countable EIP is exactly like above by working with ideals instead of filters. □

Since UIP and EIP are equivalent in the case of varieties we call the (one) property they express *the almost interpolation property*, briefly AIP.

Before our next theorem which provides infinitely many varieties satisfying the conditions of theorem 2.2. For $R \subseteq_\omega \alpha \times \alpha$, $\bar{\mathsf{d}}(R) = \prod_{i,j \in R \sim Id} -\mathsf{d}_{ij}$. The next result is the topological analogue of a result of Comer [3] proved for cylindric algebras, but we present a different proof depending on theorem 1.21.

Theorem 2.3. *If $\alpha \geq \omega$, then there are infinitely many subvarieties of RTCA_α that has AP, hence satisfy all conditions in theorem 2.2.*

Proof. For each finite $k \geq 1$, let V_k be the variety consisting of algebras having characteristic k endowed with interior operators. $\mathfrak{A} \in V_k$ iff $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathsf{TCA}_\alpha$ and $\mathfrak{A}$ satisfies the equations $\mathsf{c}_{(k)}\bar{\mathsf{d}}(k \times k) = 1$ and $\mathsf{c}_{(k+1)}\bar{\mathsf{d}}((k+1) \times (k+1)) = 0$. Then $V_k \subseteq \mathsf{TSc}_\alpha$ and amalgams found by theorem 1.21 are necessarily of characteristic k since embeddings preserve equations. □

Below in corollary 3.6 we give infinitely many varieties that do not have AP but their simple algebras do, hence, in view of the coming theorem 2.6, their free algebras have WIP.

Let L be a signature, and let Y be a set of variables. Then $\mathsf{Tm}(Y)$ denotes the absolutely free algebra of this signature on the set Y; the term algebra. Its elements are terms having variables from Y. $\mathsf{Eq}(Y)$ is the set of all ordered pairs of terms called *equations* written as $\alpha = \beta$ and denoted by ϵ, δ. The variables occuring in a term, equation or set of equations S is denoted by $\mathsf{Var}(S)$. Given a variety V of algebras in this signature, the free algebra of V on a set Z of free generators is denoted by $\mathfrak{Fr}_Z(V)$.

Let K be a class of algebras of the same signature and Y be an arbitrary set of variables. For any $\Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\} \subseteq \mathsf{Eq}(Y)$, we write $\Sigma \models^Y_{\mathsf{K}} \epsilon$, or simply $\Sigma \models_{\mathsf{K}} \epsilon$ and sometimes only $\Sigma \models \epsilon$, iff for all $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathsf{K}$ and every homomorphism $h : \mathsf{Tm}(Y) \to \mathfrak{A}$, if $\Sigma \subseteq ker\phi$ then $\epsilon \in ker\phi$. This is a *substitution invariant consequence relation* as defined in [16], and if K happens to be a variety then it is also *finitary*; $\Sigma \models \epsilon$ iff $\Sigma' \models \epsilon$ for some finite $\Sigma' \subseteq \Sigma$.

Let Z be a set of variables and $\mathsf{Tm}(Z)$ be the absolutely free algebra over Z of type TCA_α. Let $h : \mathfrak{Tm}(Z) \to \mathfrak{Fr}_Z\mathsf{RTCA}_\alpha$ be the natural map, and denote $h(\alpha)$ by $\bar{\alpha}$. For an equation ϵ of the form $\alpha = \beta$, we write $\bar{\epsilon}$ for $\bar{\alpha} = \bar{\beta}$ and we write $\bar{\Sigma}$ for $\{\bar{\epsilon} : \epsilon \in \Sigma\}$.

Definition 2.4. [16] A variety V has the *maximal Pigozzi property*, PP_m for short, if for any sets Y and Z whenever

(i) $Y \cap Z \neq \emptyset$,

(ii) $\Theta_Y \in \mathsf{Co}(\mathfrak{Fr}_Y(V))$ and $\Theta_Z \in \mathsf{Co}(\mathfrak{Fr}_Z(V))$; are maximal congruences

(iii) $\Theta_Y \cap \mathfrak{Fr}_{Y\cap Z}(V)^2 = \Theta_Z \cap \mathfrak{Fr}_{Y\cap Z}(V)^2$, then
Θ_Y and Θ_Z have a common extension to $\mathfrak{Fr}_{Y\cup Z}(V)$.

In some cases, properties of free algebras may be expressed as properties of the equational consequence relations of the variety, as we proceed to show:

Definition 2.5. [16] A variety V has the *maximal Robinson property RP* if for each set of variables Y, whenever

(i) $\Sigma \cup \Pi \cup \{\epsilon\} \subseteq \mathsf{Eq}(Y)$ and $\mathsf{Var}(\Sigma) \cap \mathsf{Var}(\Pi \cup \{\epsilon\}) \neq \emptyset$;

(ii) $\Sigma \models_V \delta$ iff $\Pi \models_V \delta$, for all $\delta \in \mathsf{Eq}(\mathsf{Y})$ satisfying $\mathsf{Var}(\delta) \subseteq \mathsf{Var}(\Pi) \subseteq \mathsf{Var}(\Sigma)$;

(iii) $\mathsf{Var}(\epsilon) \subseteq \mathsf{Var}(\Sigma)$;

(iv) $\Sigma \cup \Pi \models_V \epsilon$;

(v) The congruences generated by $\bar{\Sigma}$ and $\bar{\Pi}$ are maximal,

then $\Sigma \models \epsilon$.

Theorem 2.6. *The following conditions are equivalent for a subvariety V of TCA_α, $\alpha > 1$:*

(1) Semisimple algebras in V have AP.

(2) Simple algebras have in V AP.

(3) Free V algebras have CP with respect to maximal congruences.

(4) Free V algebras have WIP.

(5) V has PP_m.

(6) V has RP_m.

Proof. (1) implies (2) is trivial. (2) implies (1) by the argument used in the last part of theorem 1.21. (2) equivalent to (3) can be proved by using exactly the above argument using maximal congruences in place of congruences, hence amalgams will be *simple* algebras in place of algebras.

Now we prove that (3) is equivalent to (4). This is proved for cylindric algebras in [34]. Assume CP relative to U, where U is the set of proper maximal filters in subalgebras of $\mathfrak{A}$. Let $X_1, X_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$, and $x \in \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_1$ and $z \in \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_2$, such that $x \leq z$ and assume for contradiction that there is there is no y and no finite $\Gamma \subseteq \alpha$, such that $\mathsf{q}_{(\Gamma)}x \leq y \leq \mathsf{c}_{(\Gamma)}z$.

Then $\mathsf{q}_{(\Gamma)}x^{\cdot} - y > 0$ or $\mathsf{q}_{(\Gamma)}[-z] \cdot y > 0$ whenever $y \in \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1 \cap X_2)$.

Hence for any finite subsets Δ, θ of α, we have $u \cdot w > 0$ for all $u, w \in \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1 \cap X_2)$ such that $u \geq \mathsf{q}_{(\Delta)}x$ and $w \geq \mathsf{q}_{(\theta)}[-z]$. Let

$$P = \mathfrak{Fl}^{\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1 \cap X_2)}[[(\mathfrak{Fl}^{\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_1}\{x\} \cap \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1 \cap X_2)] \cup \mathfrak{Fl}^{\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_2}\{-z\} \cap \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1 \cap X_2]].$$

Then P is proper, so let P' be a maximal proper filter in $\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1 \cap X_2)$ containing P. Then there are maximal filters M of $\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_1$ and N of $\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_2$ such that (*)

$$\mathfrak{Fl}^{\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_1}\{x\} \subseteq M \text{ and } \mathfrak{Fl}^{\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_2}\{-z\} \subseteq N,$$

and $M \cap \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1 \cap X_2) = P' = N \cap \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1 \cap X_2)$. By assumption, we have $\mathfrak{Fl}^{\mathfrak{A}}(M \cup N)$ is proper, and so it is not the case that $x \leq z$, for if $x \leq z$, then $x \cdot (-z) = 0$ and so by (*) we get $0 \in \mathfrak{Fl}^{\mathfrak{A}}(M \cup N)$ and so $\mathfrak{Fl}^{\mathfrak{A}}(M \cup N) = \mathfrak{A}$. This is a contradiction and we are done.

For the converse. Assume that $\mathfrak{A}$ has WIP. Let M be a filter of $\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_1$ and N be a filter of $\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_2$, both maximal, such that $M \cap \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1 \cap X_2) = N \cap \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1 \cap X_2)$.

Assume for contradiction that $\mathfrak{Ig}^{\mathfrak{A}}(M \cup N) = \mathfrak{A}$. Then there exist $x \in M$, $z \in N$ such that $x \cdot z = 0$. By assumption there is an element $y \in \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1 \cap X_2)$ and a finite $\Gamma \subseteq \alpha$ such that $\mathsf{q}_{(\Gamma)}x \leq y \leq \mathsf{c}_{(\Gamma)}z$, hence $y \in \mathfrak{Fl}^{\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_1}\{x\}$ and $-y \in \mathfrak{Fl}^{\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X_2}\{z\}$, and so $-y \in M \cap \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1 \cap X_2)$ and $y \in N \cap \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1 \cap X_2)$. Hence $0 = -y \cdot y \in M$ which is impossible. We conclude that $\mathfrak{Fl}^{\mathfrak{A}}(M \cup N)$ is proper and maximal, and it induces the required maximal congruence.

It is clear that (5) and (3) are equivalent. We lastly prove the equivalence of (5) and (6). Suppose V has PP_m and that conditions (i) (ii) (iii), and (iv) are satisfied for the RP_m. Let $Y = \mathsf{Var}(\Sigma)$ and $Z = \mathsf{Var}(\Pi)$. Let Θ_Y be the congruence generated by $\bar{\Sigma}$ in $\mathfrak{Fr}(Y)$ and Θ_Z be the congruence generated by $\bar{\Pi}$ in $\mathfrak{Fr}(Z)$. Then both are maximal congruences and $\Theta_Y \cap \mathfrak{Fr}(Y \cap Z)^2 = \Theta_Z \cap \mathfrak{Fr}(Y \cap Z)^2$. Hence by PP there exists $\Theta \in \mathsf{Co}(\mathfrak{Fr}(Y \cup Z))$ such that $\Theta_Y = \Theta \cap \mathfrak{Fr}(Y)^2$ and $\Theta_Z = \Theta \cap \mathfrak{Fr}(Z)^2$. We may assume that Θ is the congruence generated by $\Theta_Y \cup \Theta_Z$ in $\mathfrak{Fr}(Y \cup Z)$. By (iv) we have $\bar{\epsilon} \in \Theta$. But $\mathsf{Var}(\epsilon) \subseteq Y$, we have $\bar{\epsilon} \in \Theta_Y$ and $\Sigma \models \epsilon$.

Conversely, assume V has RP_m and that conditions (i), (ii), (iii) are satsified for the PP_m. Choose Σ and Π such that Θ_Y is the congruence generated by $\bar{\Sigma}$ in $\mathfrak{Fr}(Y)$ and Θ_Z is the congruence generated by Π in $\mathfrak{Fr}(Z)$. Then (i) and (ii) of the RP_m hold. Let Θ be the congruence generated by $\Theta_Y \cup \Theta_Z$ in $\mathfrak{Fr}(Y \cup Z)$ is as required. □

The natural question at this point is. What does the *usual* interpolation property correspond to. On the global level it corresponds to the super amalgamation property. One implication can be distilled without much effort from the proof theorem **??**. The other direction, that is $SUPAP$ implies IP in free algebras is proved by Madarasz and Maksimova [11, 15], in a more general setting, of which Boolean algebras with operators, and cylindric algebras with interior operators, are a special case.

Theorem 2.7. *Let α be an ordinal > 0. Let V be a variety of TCA_α. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) V has $SUPAP$

(2) The free algebras have IP.

If $\alpha < \omega$, then TCA_α is a discriminator variety, with discriminator term $\mathsf{c}_{(\alpha)}$; in particular, every subdirectly indecomposable algebra is simple and hence every algebra is semisimple. This gives:

Theorem 2.8. *If $\alpha < \omega$ then for any subvariety V of TCA_α all conditions in theorems 2.2 and 2.6 are equivalent:*

Proof. It suffices to show that free algebras have WIP implies free algebras have AIP. Let $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{Fr}_\beta V$ $X_1, X_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$, $a \in \mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}} X_1$ and $b \in \mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}} X_2$ such that $a \leq b$. Then $a \leq \mathsf{c}_{(\alpha)} a \leq \mathsf{c}_{(\alpha)} b$. Hence by WIP there exists $d \in \mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1 \cap X_2)$ and $\Gamma \subseteq \alpha$ such that $a \leq \mathsf{c}^{\partial}_{(\Gamma)} \mathsf{c}_{(\alpha)} a \leq d \leq \mathsf{c}_{(\Gamma)} \mathsf{c}_{(\alpha)} b = \mathsf{c}_{(\alpha)} b$, hence $\mathfrak{A}$ has AIP and we are done. Notice that if α is the dimension $< \omega$ then both AIP and WIP are equivalent to; with $\mathfrak{A}$, a, b as above; that there exists $d \in \mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1 \cap X_2)$ such that $a \leq d \leq \mathsf{c}_{(\alpha)} b$. □

We will see in corollary 3.3 that the above theorem is is not true for the infinite dimensional case. Indeed for $\alpha \geq \omega$, TCA_α is not a discriminator variety; subdirectly indecomposable algebras that are not simple can be esaily constructed. We know from theorem 1.18 that the free algebras in any variety V containing the representable algebras have WIP, but we will see in theorem 3.1 that RTCA_α does not have AP, hence by theorem 2.2 the free algebras do not have AIP.

Now we define yet other *restricted* interpolation properties, that are the adaptation of Pigozzi's restricted forms of interpolation defined for cylindric algebras, to our present context. Here we look for the interpolant in the minimal subalgebra of the free algebra. From the logical point of view the formulas to be interpolated contain only the equality symbol as a common symbol, so we are looking for an interpolant that contains no other symbols, we are looking for a formula built up only of equations, that is their atomic subformulas are of the form $x_i = x_j$ $(i, j \in \omega)$, where x_i and x_j are variables; reflected algebraically by the diagonal element d_{ij}.

Definition 2.9. Let $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathsf{TCA}_\alpha$.

(1) $\mathfrak{A}$ has the *restricted interpolation property* if whenever $x \leq z$, $x \in \mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}} Y$ and $z \in \mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}} Z$, with $Y \cap Z = \emptyset$, then there exists $y \in \mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}}(Y \cap Z)$ such that either $x \leq y \leq z$.

(2) $\mathfrak{A}$ has the *almost restricted interpolation property* if whenever $x \leq z$, as in the previous item, then there exist $y \in \mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}}(Y \cap Z)$ and a finite $\Gamma \subseteq \alpha$, such that $\mathsf{q}_{(\Gamma)} x \leq y \leq z$.

(3) $\mathfrak{A}$ the *weak restricted interpolation property* if whenever $x \leq z$ as in the previous item, then there exist $y \in \mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}}(Y \cap Z)$ and a finite $\Gamma \subseteq \alpha$ such that $\mathsf{q}_{(\Gamma)} x \leq y \leq \mathsf{c}_{(\Gamma)} z = 1$.

In the above definition the algebra $\mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}}(Y \cap Z)$ is a *minimal* algebra, it is generated by the diagonal elements, and it has no *proper* subalgebras. Let TMn_α denote the class of such minimal algebras, namely, algebras with no proper subalgebras. Clearly $\mathsf{TMn}_\alpha \subseteq \mathsf{TDc}_\alpha$ for infinite α. This simple obervation will be used in the coming proof.

Theorem 2.10. *Let α be an infinite ordinal. Let β be any cardinal > 0. Then $\mathfrak{Fr}_\beta\mathsf{RTCA}_\alpha$ has the strong restricted interpolation property.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{Fr}_\beta\mathsf{TRCA}_\alpha$ and let $X_1, X_2 \subseteq \beta$ be disjoint sets. We can assume without loss of generality that $X_1 \cup X_2 = \beta$. Assume that $a \in \mathfrak{A}_1 = \mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}}X_1$ and $b \in \mathfrak{A}_2 = \mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}}X_2$ such that $a \leq b$. Since $X_1 \cap X_2 = \emptyset$, we have $\mathfrak{A}_0 = \mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}}(X_1 \cap X_2) = 2$ embeds in $\mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}}X_1$ and $\mathfrak{Sg}^{\mathfrak{A}}X_2$, respectively via the inclusion maps i_0 and i_1 say. From theorem 1.14 that there is a $\mathfrak{D} \in \mathsf{RTCA}_\alpha$, a monomorphism m_1 from $\mathfrak{A}_1$ into $\mathfrak{D}$ and a monomorphism m_2 from $\mathfrak{A}_2$ into $\mathfrak{D}$ such that $m_1 \circ i_1 = m_2 \circ i_2$, and $(\forall x \in A_j)(\forall y \in A_k)(m_j(x) \leq m_k(y) \implies (\exists z \in A_0)(x \leq i_j(z) \wedge i_k(z) \leq y))$ where $\{j, k\} = \{1, 2\}$. Now since $\mathfrak{A}$ is free, there exists a homomorphism $f : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{D}$ such that $f \restriction \mathfrak{A}_1 = m_1$ and $f \restriction \mathfrak{A}_2 = m_2$. Since $f(a) \leq f(b)$ it follows that $m_1(a) \leq m_2(b)$. Hence there exists $z \in \mathfrak{A}_0$ such that $a \leq z \leq b$. ∎

Before stating our next result, we need:

Definition 2.11. K has *the (strong) embedding property* if it has the (strong) amalgamation property when the base algebra is is minimal.

Corollary 2.12. *Let α be an infinite ordinal. Then RTCA_α has the strong embedding property. Furthermore, if the algebras $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ to be amalgamated (agreeing on their minimal subalgebras) are simple, semi-simple or in Sc_α then so is the amalgam.*

Proof. The first part is from the proof of theorem 2.10, using theorem 1.14. The second part follows from the arguments used in theorem 1.21. □

We will see in a while that for finite $\alpha > 1$, the situation is different. The class RTCA_α does not have the embedding property and $\mathfrak{Fr}_\beta\mathsf{K}$ for any class K between RTCA_α and TCA_α does not have the weakest restricted interpolation property.

3 Negative results

Now that we have obtained such equivalences, the natural question is how far can we get as far as interpolation is concerned with the free representable algebras. We know that they enjoy the weak interpolation property. In the next theorem we show that the representable algebras does not have *AP*. It

is known [17] that the class RCA_α for infinite α does not have AP, so obtain an analogous result by bouncing it to the cylindric case.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\alpha \geq \omega$. Then any class K such that $\mathsf{TCA}_\alpha \subseteq \mathsf{K} \subseteq \mathsf{TRCA}_\alpha$ does not have AP.*

Proof. Take $\mathfrak{A}_0, \mathfrak{A}_1, \mathfrak{A}_2$ in RCA_α and $f : \mathfrak{A}_0 \to \mathfrak{A}_1$, and $g : \mathfrak{A}_0 \to \mathfrak{A}_1$ injective homomorphisms for which there are no $\mathfrak{D} \in \mathsf{RCA}_\alpha$ and injective homomorphisms $m : \mathfrak{A}_1 \to \mathfrak{D}$ $n : \mathfrak{A}_2 \to \mathfrak{D}$ such that $m \circ f = n \circ g$, endow the base of each the interior topology stimulating the interior operators as identity functions, and so finding an amalgam for the resulting RTCA_αs (with same embedding maps), will give an amalgam to the original RCA_αs, by taking its CA reduct [17, 22] which is a contradiction. We explicitly describe such algebras. Let $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{Fr}_4\mathsf{CA}_\alpha$ with $\{x, y, z, w\}$ its free generators. Let $X_1 = \{x, y\}$ and $X_2 = \{x, z, w\}$. Let r, s and t be defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} r &= \mathsf{c}_0(x \cdot \mathsf{c}_1 y) \cdot \mathsf{c}_0(x \cdot -\mathsf{c}_1 y), \\ s &= \mathsf{c}_0\mathsf{c}_1(\mathsf{c}_1 z \cdot \mathsf{s}_1^0\mathsf{c}_1 z \cdot -\mathsf{d}_{01}) + \mathsf{c}_0(x \cdot -\mathsf{c}_1 z), \\ t &= \mathsf{c}_0\mathsf{c}_1(\mathsf{c}_1 w \cdot \mathsf{s}_1^0\mathsf{c}_1 w \cdot -\mathsf{d}_{01}) + \mathsf{c}_0(x \cdot -\mathsf{c}_1 w), \end{aligned}$$

where $x, y, z,$ and w are the first four free generators of $\mathfrak{A}$. Then $r \leq s \cdot t$. Let $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{Fr}_4\mathsf{RCA}_\alpha$ with free generators $\{x', y', z', w'\}$. Let $\psi : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{D}$ be defined by the extension of the map $t \mapsto t'$, for $t \in \{x, y, x, w\}$. For $i \in \mathfrak{A}$, we denote $\psi(i) \in \mathfrak{D}$ by i'. Let $I = \mathfrak{Ig}^{\mathfrak{D}^{(X_1)}}\{r'\}$ and $J = \mathfrak{Ig}^{\mathfrak{D}^{(X_2)}}\{s' \cdot t'\}$, and let

$$L = I \cap \mathfrak{D}^{(X_1 \cap X_2)} \text{ and } K = J \cap \mathfrak{D}^{(X_1 \cap X_2)}.$$

Then $L = K$, and $\mathfrak{A}_0 = \mathfrak{D}^{(X_1 \cap X_2)}/L$ can be embedded into $\mathfrak{A}_1 = \mathfrak{D}^{(X_1)}/I$ and $\mathfrak{A}_2 = \mathfrak{D}^{(X_2)}/J$, but there is no amalgam even in CA_ω [27, Theorem 4.1]. □

We have a stronger result for finite dimensions:

Theorem 3.2. *Let n be finite > 1. Then any class K between TRCA_n and TCA_n does not have EP. Furthermore, the algebras witnessing failure of EP can be chosen to be set algebras hence are simple.*

Proof. Assume first that $n > 1$. Let $1 < n \leq |U_0| < |U_1|$ and $|U_0| < \omega$. Let $\mathfrak{A}_i = \mathfrak{A}(n, U_i)$ be the set algebra with unit ${}^nU_i$ aand universe $\wp({}^nU_i)$ where U_i has the discrete topology. Let D_i be the principal diagonal in $\mathfrak{A}_i$. That is $D_i = \prod_{k,l<n} \mathsf{d}_{kl}$. Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be the minimal subalgebra of $\mathfrak{A}_0$. Then $\mathfrak{M}$ is embeddable in $\mathfrak{A}_0$ and $\mathfrak{A}_1$ via g_0 and g_1 such that $g_0 \circ g^{-1}$ is an isomorphism from $g_0\mathfrak{M}$ and $g_1\mathfrak{M}$ and $g_1 g_0^{-1} D_0 = D_1$. Here we are using that the minimal subalgebras of $\mathfrak{A}_0$ and $\mathfrak{A}_1$ are isomorphic, and they remain so after endowing their bases with the discrete topology. This follows from the fact that they

are both simple and have characteristic zero [7, 2.5.30]. Then, as proved in [3] there can be no $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathsf{TCA}_n$, $f_0 : \mathfrak{A}_0 \to \mathfrak{B}'$ and $f_1 : \mathfrak{A}_1 \to \mathfrak{B}'$ injective homomorphisms such that $f_1 \circ g_1 = f_0 \circ g_0$.

□

Note that if $n = 1$ and we drop the *cross axiom* $\mathsf{s}^j_i I(i) = I(j)\mathsf{s}^j_i$, then the same set algebras can be viewed as one dimensional algebras, with I_0 interpreted like the second cylindrifier, which means that EP fails for this class of one dimensional algebras.

An algebra in $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathsf{TCs}_\alpha$ is called a *full set algebra* if the universe of $\mathfrak{A}$ is $\wp({}^\alpha U)$ for some set U, that is, it consists of all subsets of ${}^\alpha U$.

Corollary 3.3. *For $\alpha \geq \omega$ any subvariety of TCA_α containing the class of all full set algebras of dimension α fails all the conditions of theorem 2.2, but satisfies all conditions of theorem 2.6. If α is finite > 1, any variety containing the class of all full set algebras of dimension α fails all conditions of theorem 2.6, hence also those in 2.2.*

Proof. From theorems 3.1 and 3.2. □

Next we give a categorical formulation to the $UNEP$. We review some categorical concepts from [9]. For a category L, $Ob(L)$ denotes the class of objects of the category and $Mor(L)$ denotes the corresponding class of morphisms.

Definition 3.4. Let L and K be two categories. Let $G : K \to L$ be a functor and let $\mathfrak{B} \in Ob(L)$. A pair $(u_B, \mathfrak{A}_B)$ with $\mathfrak{A}_B \in Ob(K)$ and $u_B : \mathfrak{B} \to G(\mathfrak{A}_B)$ is called a universal map with respect to G (or a G universal map) provided that for each $\mathfrak{A}' \in Ob(K)$ and each $f : \mathfrak{B} \to G(\mathfrak{A}')$ there exists a unique K morphism $\bar{f} : \mathfrak{A}_B \to \mathfrak{A}'$ such that

$$G(\bar{f}) \circ u_B = f.$$



Viewing the neat reduct operator as a *functor* introduced next, is a theme initiated in [27], wrapping up deep results on the amalgamation property for both cylindric and polyadic algebras in the language of arrows.

Definition 3.5. The *neat reduct functor*, $\mathfrak{Nr}$ for short, is defined from $\mathbf{K} = \{\mathfrak{A} \in \mathsf{TCA}_{\alpha+\omega} : \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}\mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}\}$ to RTCA_α by sending every object $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathbf{K}$, to $\mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha\mathfrak{A}$, and sending injective homomorphisms to their restrictions, that is for $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B} \in \mathbf{K}$ and $f : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{B}$, an injective homomorphism, $\mathfrak{Nr}(f) = f \restriction \mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha\mathfrak{A}$.

It is clear that $f(\mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha\mathfrak{A}) \subseteq \mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha\mathfrak{B}$, hence this functor is well defined. Here we are restricting morphisms to only injective homomorphisms.

For a class K, we let $\mathsf{Sim}(\mathsf{K})$ denotes the class of simple algebras in K.

Corollary 3.6. *Let α be an infinite ordinal.*

(1) For any $k \geq 4$, and any class K such that $\mathsf{RTCA}_\alpha \subseteq \mathsf{K} \subseteq \mathsf{TCA}_\alpha$, $\mathfrak{Fr}_k\mathsf{K}$ does not have AIP but has WIP.

(2) RTCA_α does not have $UNEP$.

(3) For any $k \geq 0$, the variety $S\mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha\mathsf{TCA}_{\alpha+\mathsf{k}}$ does not have AP, hence it does not have MIP, indeed it does not have any of the properties in theorem 2.2 but it has WIP, hence satisfy all properties in theorem 2.6.

(4) There is an $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathsf{TCA}_\alpha$ that does not have a universal map with respect to the functor $\mathfrak{Nr}$.

(5) $\mathfrak{Nr}$ does not have a right adjoint.

Proof. (1) By theorem 1.18 and 3.1.

(2) Let $\mathfrak{A}_0$ be as in the proof of theorem 3.1, so that $\mathfrak{A}_0$ is not in the amalgamation base of RTCA_α. If $\mathfrak{A}_0$ has $UNEP$ then using the same reasoning in theorem 1.14, by appeal to theorem **??**, $\mathfrak{A}_0$ would be in the amalgamation base of RTCA_α which is impossible.

(3) The algebras $\mathfrak{A}_0$, $\mathfrak{A}_1$, $\mathfrak{A}_1$ in the proof of theorem 3.1 are representable, hence they are in $\mathbf{S}\mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha\mathsf{TCA}_{\alpha+\omega}$ but they do not have an amalgam in TCA_α, *a fortiori* in $\mathbf{S}\mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha\mathsf{TCA}_{\alpha+\mathsf{k}}$. WIP follows from theorem 1.18 or by noting that $\mathsf{Sim}(\mathsf{TCA}_\alpha) = \mathsf{Sim}(\mathsf{RTCA}_\alpha) = \mathsf{Sim}(\mathbf{S}\mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha\mathsf{TCA}_{\alpha+\mathsf{k}})$ and then using theorem 2.6.

(4) Let $\mathfrak{A}_0$ be as in the proof of theorem 3.1. Then $\mathfrak{A}_0$ does not have $UNEP$. So $\mathfrak{A}_0$ generates non - isomorphic algebras in extra dimensions, the existence of a universal map for it, will force that these algebras are actually isomorphic, fixing it pointwise, and this cannot happen.

In more detail, assume that $\mathfrak{A}_0$ neatly embeds into $\mathfrak{B}$ via e_B and into $\mathfrak{B}'$ via $e_{B'}$. Let $(e, \mathfrak{C})$ be a universal map for $\mathfrak{A}_0$, so that $\mathfrak{A}$ neatly embeds into $\mathfrak{C}$ via e. (See the above diagram). By universality, there exists isomorphisms $f : \mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{B}$ and $k : \mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{B}'$ such that $f \circ e = e_B$ and $k \circ e = e'_B$. The maps are injective by definition, they are surjective, because $\mathfrak{A}_0$ is contained in $\mathfrak{C}$ and it generates both $\mathfrak{B}$ and $\mathfrak{B}'$. We infer that $\mathfrak{B}$ and $\mathfrak{B}'$ are isomorphic, but we want more. We want to exclude special isomorphisms (in principal, isomorphisms can exist as long as

they do not fix $\mathfrak{A}$ pointwise). We have $h = k \circ f^{-1} : \mathfrak{B} \to \mathfrak{B}'$ is an isomorphism such that $h \circ e_B = e_{B'}$, and this isomorphism is as required, leading to a contradiction.

(5) It is known [9, Theorem 27.3 on p. 196] that if $G : K \to L$ is a functor such that each $\mathfrak{B} \in Ob(K)$ has a G universal map $(\mu_B, \mathfrak{A}_B)$, then there exists a unique adjoint situation $(\mu, \epsilon) : F \to G$ such that $\mu = (\mu_B)$ and for each $\mathfrak{B} \in Ob(L)$, $F(\mathfrak{B}) = \mathfrak{A}_B$. Conversely, if we have an adjoint situation $(\mu, \epsilon) : F \to G$ then for each $\mathfrak{B} \in Ob(L)$ $(\mu_B, F(\mathfrak{B}))$ have a G universal map.

□

Theorem 3.7. *For any $\alpha > 0$, the following conditions are equivalent for $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathsf{TCA}_\alpha$.*

(1) $\mathfrak{A}$ has the UNEP.

(2) $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathsf{APbase}(\mathsf{RTCA}_\alpha)$.

(3) $\mathfrak{A}$ has a universal map with respect to the functor $\mathfrak{Nr}$.

Proof. This is proved for cylindric algebras in [34]. The proof lifts with no modifications. □

We need another algebraic counterpart of a yet another definability property, namely, Beth definability. A class K *has ES if epimorphisms in* K *(in the categorical sense) are surjective.*

The next theorem is folklore [12, 13, 14].

Theorem 3.8. *Let K be a class of algebras. Then*

(1) If K has SUPAP then it has SAP, AP and ES.

(2) $\mathbf{SPK}$ has SAP if and only if it has AP and ES.

Our next *ES* result can also be easily distilled from the cylindric counterpart, as follows.

Theorem 3.9. *Let $\alpha > 1$. Then for any class $\mathsf{K} \subseteq \mathsf{TCA}_\alpha$, that contains the class of simple representable algebras, ES, hence SAP fail. In particular, for $\alpha \geq \omega$ and $k \geq 1$, ES fails for $S\mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha\mathsf{TCA}_{\alpha+\mathsf{k}}$.*

Proof. Assume that $\alpha \geq \omega$. Notice that in this case all simple algebras are representable. Let $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}$ be the algebras such that $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{B}$ is an epimorphism that is not surjective. Such algebras are constructed in [13]; they are weak set algebras, $\mathfrak{A}$ is generated by a single element R and $\mathfrak{B}$ is generated by two elements R and X hence $X \notin \mathfrak{A}$. Also $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ have the same unit V, with

common base U tha can be endowed with the discrete topology. So we can assume that $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B} \in \mathsf{RTCA}_\alpha$ and still we have $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{B}$. Assume that $\mathfrak{D} \in \mathsf{TCA}_\alpha$ witnesses that this inclusion is not an epimorphism. Hence there is $\mathfrak{D} \in \mathsf{TCA}_\alpha$ and homomorphisms $f, g : \mathfrak{B} \to \mathfrak{D}$ such that $f(X) \neq g(X)$, this is impossible because then $\mathfrak{Rd}_{ca}\mathfrak{D}$ witnesses that $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{B}$ is not an epimorphism.

In [13] it is shown that $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ can be chosen to be semi-simple and in [26] it is shown that they can further be chosen to be simple. For finite $\alpha > 1$ the required follows easily from the construction in [1] expanding, like we often did before, the constructed algebras by the identity interior operators corresponding to the discrete topology. The last part follows from the fact that $\mathsf{RTCA}_\alpha \subseteq \mathsf{S}\mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha\mathsf{CA}_{\alpha+\mathsf{k}} \subseteq \mathsf{TCA}_\alpha$ for all α. □

Theorem 3.10. *(1) Let $\mathfrak{D}$ the full set algebra with unit ${}^\omega\omega$; with ω having the discrete topology. Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be its minimal subalgeba. Then $\mathfrak{M} \in \mathsf{SUPAPbase}(\mathsf{RTCA}_\omega) \sim \mathsf{APbase}(\mathsf{TCA}_\omega)$. Furthermore, TCA_ω does not have EP, and $\mathfrak{Fr}_\omega\mathsf{CA}_\omega$ does not have weak restricted IP.*

(2) If $1 < \alpha < \omega$, then for any K such that $\mathsf{RTCA}_\alpha \subseteq \mathsf{K} \subseteq \mathsf{TCA}_\alpha$, $\mathfrak{Fr}_\omega\mathsf{K}$ does not have weak restricted IP.

Proof. For the infinite dimensional case witness [29, 35]. The second part follows from the reasoning in theorem 2.6. The second item concerning finite dimensional algebras follows from theorem 3.2. □

4 Representability and amalgamation for various topological polyadic algebras

The class TPCA_α, to be dealt with next, is defined by restricting the signature and axiomatization of Halmos' polyadic algebras to finite cylindrifiers, so that we have all substitition operators but only c_i for $i \in \alpha$, and interior operators I_i. Here we do not have diagonal elements and we consider *only infinite dimensions*. In more detail: The dimension set of x, in symbols Δx, is defined exactly as in the TCA case; that is $\Delta x = \{i \in \alpha : \mathsf{c}_i x \neq x\}$. If $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathsf{TPCA}_\alpha$, then $\mathfrak{Rd}_{pa}\mathfrak{A}$ denotes its reduct obtained by discarding all interior operators. Henkin ultrafilters are defined exactly like before; they are the ultrafilters that *eliminate cylindrifiers*. That is for $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathsf{TPCA}_\alpha$ a Boolean ultrafilter F is *Henkin* if for all $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ for all $k < \alpha$, if $\mathsf{c}_k x \in F$, then there exists $l \notin \Delta x$, such that $\mathsf{s}^k_l x \in F$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\alpha < \beta$ be infinite ordinals. Then for every $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathsf{TPCA}_\alpha$ there is a unique $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathsf{TPCA}_\beta$ up to isomorphism that fixes $\mathfrak{A}$ such that $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha\mathfrak{B}$ and for all $X \subseteq A$, $\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}X = \mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{B}}X$. In particular, TPCA_α has NS and $UNEP$. Furthermore, if F is a Henkin ultrafilter of $\mathfrak{B}$ and $a \in F$, then*

there exists a topology on β and a homomorphism $f : \mathfrak{A} \to (\wp({}^{\alpha}\beta), J_i)_{i<\alpha}$ with $f(a) \neq 0$ where for $i < \alpha$ and $X \subseteq {}^{\alpha}\beta$, $J_iX = \{s \in {}^{\alpha}\beta : s_i \in \mathsf{int}\{u \in U : s^i_u \in X\}\}$.

Proof. Dilations are proved to exist similar to the arguments used in [5, 27]; the rest follows using analogues of [31, Theorems 2.9, 3.6]. Nevertheless forming dilations here is more involved. We extensively use the techniques in [5], but we have to watch out, for we only have finite cylindrifications. Let $(\mathfrak{A}, \alpha, S)$ be a transformation system in the sense of [5]. Substitutions in $\mathfrak{A}$, induce a homomorphism of semigroups $S : {}^{\alpha}\alpha \to End(\mathfrak{A})$, via $\tau \mapsto \mathsf{s}_{\tau}$. The operation on both semigroups is composition of maps; the latter is the semigroup of endomorphisms on $\mathfrak{A}$. For any set X, let $F({}^{\alpha}X, \mathfrak{A})$ be the set of all functions from ${}^{\alpha}X$ to $\mathfrak{A}$ endowed with Boolean operations defined pointwise and for $\tau \in {}^{\alpha}\alpha$ and $f \in F({}^{\alpha}X, \mathfrak{A})$, put $\mathsf{s}_{\tau}f(x) = f(x \circ \tau)$. This turns $F({}^{\alpha}X, \mathfrak{A})$ to a transformation system as well. The map $H : \mathfrak{A} \to F({}^{\alpha}\alpha, \mathfrak{A})$ defined by $H(p)(x) = \mathsf{s}_x p$ is easily checked to be an embedding. Assume that $\beta \supseteq \alpha$. Then $K : F({}^{\alpha}\alpha, \mathfrak{A}) \to F({}^{\beta}\alpha, \mathfrak{A})$ defined by $K(f)x = f(x \restriction \alpha)$ is an embedding, too. These facts are straightforward to establish, cf. [5, Theorems 3.1, 3.2]. Call $F({}^{\beta}\alpha, \mathfrak{A})$ a minimal functional dilation of $F({}^{\alpha}\alpha, \mathfrak{A})$. Elements of the big algebra, or the (cylindrifier free) functional dilation, are of form $\mathsf{s}_{\sigma}p$, $p \in F({}^{\beta}\alpha, \mathfrak{A})$ where σ is one to one on α, cf. [5, Theorems 4.3-4.4].

We can assume that $|\alpha| < |\beta|$. Let $\mathfrak{B}$ be the algebra obtained from $\mathfrak{A}$, by discarding its cylindrifiers, then dilating it to β dimensions, that is, taking a minimal functional dilation in β dimensions, and then re-defining cylindrifiers in the bigger algebra, on the big algebra, so that they agree with their values in $\mathfrak{A}$ as follows (*):

$$\mathsf{c}_k \mathsf{s}^{\mathfrak{B}}_{\sigma} p = \mathsf{s}^{\mathfrak{B}}_{\rho^{-1}} \mathsf{c}_{\rho(\{k\} \cap \sigma\alpha)} \mathsf{s}^{\mathfrak{A}}_{(\rho\sigma \restriction \alpha)} p.$$
$$I(k) \mathsf{s}^{\mathfrak{B}}_{\sigma} p = \mathsf{s}^{\mathfrak{B}}_{\rho^{-1}} I(\rho(\{k\} \cap \sigma\alpha)) \mathsf{s}^{\mathfrak{A}}_{(\rho\sigma \restriction \alpha)} p.$$

Here ρ is a any permutation such that $\rho \circ \sigma(\alpha) \subseteq \sigma(\alpha.)$ It can be checked by a somewhat tedious computation [5] that the definition is sound; in other words it is independent of $\rho, \sigma, p.$ and it defines the required dilation.

To prove $UNEP$ let $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}' \in \mathsf{TPCA}_{\alpha}$ and $\beta > \alpha$. Let $\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{B}' \in \mathsf{TPCA}_{\beta}$ and assume that $e_A, e_{A'}$ are embeddings from $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}'$ into $\mathfrak{Nr}_{\alpha}\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{Nr}_{\alpha}\mathfrak{B}'$, respectively, such that $\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{B}}(e_A(A)) = \mathfrak{B}$ and $\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{B}'}(e_{A'}(A')) = \mathfrak{B}'$, and let $i : \mathfrak{A} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}'$ be an isomorphism. We need to "lift" i to β dimensions. Let $\mu = |A|$. Let x be a bijection from μ onto A. Let y be a bijection from μ onto A', such that $i(x_j) = y_j$ for all $j < \mu$. Let $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{Fr}_{\mu}\mathsf{TPCA}_{\beta}$ with generators $(\xi_i : i < \mu)$. Let $\mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{Nd}_{\alpha}\mathfrak{D}}\{\xi_i : i < \mu\}$. Then $\mathfrak{C} \subseteq \mathfrak{Nr}_{\alpha}\mathfrak{D}$, C generates $\mathfrak{D}$ and so by the previous lemma $\mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{Nr}_{\alpha}\mathfrak{D}$. There exist homomorphisms $f : \mathfrak{D} \to \mathfrak{B}$ and $f' : \mathfrak{D}$ to $\mathfrak{B}'$ such that $f(g_{\xi}) = e_A(x_{\xi})$ and $f'(g_{\xi}) = e_{A'}(y_{\xi})$ for all $\xi < \mu$. Note that f and f' are both surjective.We now

have $e_A \circ i^{-1} \circ e_{A'}^{-1} \circ (f' \restriction \mathfrak{C}) = f \restriction \mathfrak{C}$. Therefore $kerf' \cap \mathfrak{C} = kerf \cap \mathfrak{C}$. Hence by NS we have $kerf' = \mathfrak{Fl}(kerf' \cap \mathfrak{C}) = \mathfrak{Fl}(kerf \cap \mathfrak{C}) = kerf$.

Let $y \in B$, then there exists $x \in D$ such that $y = f(x)$. Define $\hat{i}(y) = f'(x)$. The map is well defined and is as required.

For the last part, assume that a Henkin ultrafilter F is given and define $f : \mathfrak{A} \to \wp({}^{\alpha}\beta)$ via

$$p \mapsto \{\tau \in {}^{\alpha}\beta : \mathsf{s}_{\tau \cup Id_{\beta \sim \alpha}} p \in F\}.$$

Then like the proof of [31, Lemma 3.4] f preserves the polyadic operations. Handling interior operators here is easier, for we do not have diagonal elements, and hence we are not forced to define a congruence on the base of the representation as done before in case of cylindric topological algebras. For $i \in \alpha$ and $p \in \mathfrak{A}$, let

$$O_{p,i} = \{k \in \beta : \mathsf{s}_i^k I(i) p \in F\}$$

Let

$$\mathcal{B} = \{O_{p,i} : i \in \alpha, p \in A\}.$$

Then it is easy to check that $\mathcal{B}$ is the base for a topology on β. Let $W = {}^{\alpha}\beta$. For each $i < \alpha$

$$J_i : \wp(W) \to \wp(W)$$

by

$$x \in J_i X \iff \exists U \in \mathcal{B}(x_i \in U \subseteq \{u \in \alpha : x_u^i \in X\}),$$

where $X \subseteq W$. We now check that f preserves the interior operators $J(i)$ $(i < \alpha)$, too. We need to show

$$\psi(I_i p) = J_i(\psi(p)).$$

Let x be in $\psi(l_i p)$. Then $x_i \in \{u : \mathsf{s}_u^i I(i) \mathsf{s}_y p \in F\} \in q$ where $y : \alpha \to \beta$, $y \restriction \alpha \sim \{i\} = x \restriction \alpha \sim \{i\}$ and $y(i) = i$. But $I_i \mathsf{s}_y p \leq \mathsf{s}_y p$, hence

$$U = \{u : \mathsf{s}_u^i I_i \mathsf{s}_y p \in F\} \subseteq \{u : \mathsf{s}_u^i \mathsf{s}_y p \in F\}.$$

It follows that $x_i \in U \subseteq \{u : x_u^i \in \Psi(p)\}$. Thus $x \in J_i \psi(p)$. The other direction is the same as in the proof of [31, Lemma 3.6].

□

Corollary 4.2. *For any ordinal $\beta > \alpha$ $\mathsf{TPCA}_\alpha = \mathbf{S}\mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha \mathsf{TPCA}_\beta$.*

Theorem 4.3. .

(1) $\mathfrak{Fr}_\beta \mathsf{TPCA}_\alpha$ has the interpolation property.

(2) TPCA_α has $SUPAP$.

Proof. The proof of the first item is like the proof of theorem 1.1 undergoing the obvious modifications, and the second item follows from the first using the reasoning in theorem **??**. □

Note that the representability of any $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathsf{TPCA}_\alpha$ can be easily proved using a simpler version of the above technique, where only one Henkin ultrafilter is needed to establish representability.

Now do we have an omitting types theorem in the context of TPCA_α? The question itself is problematic because the signature of TPCA_α is necessarily uncountable even if the dimension is countable because in this case we have continuum many substitution operators, and it is known that for 'omitting types theorems' tied so much to the Baire category theorem, countability is essential. But here we have the related notion to omitting types that can be approached in our new context, namely, that of *complete representability*, summarized in the following question: If $\mathfrak{A}$ is in TPCA_α, is there a representation of $\mathfrak{A}$ that preserves infinite meets and joins, whenever they exist?

We make the notion of representation precise in our new context. $\mathfrak{B}(X)$ denotes the Boolean set algebra $(\wp(X), \cup, \cap, \sim, \emptyset)$.

Definition 4.4. A *representation* of $\mathfrak{A}$ is a pair (f, V) such that $V = \bigcup_{i\in I} {}^\alpha U_i$ for some indexing set I, and a family $U_i : i \in I$, of non-empty lsets that are pairwise disjoint, that is, $U_i \cap U_j = \emptyset$ for distinct $i \neq j$, and $f : \mathfrak{A} \to \langle \mathfrak{B}(V), \mathsf{c}_i, I_i, \mathsf{s}_\tau \rangle_{i<\alpha, \Gamma\subseteq_\omega \alpha, \tau\in{}^\alpha\alpha}$ is an injective homomorphism, where I_i as usual is defined by $I_i(X) = \{s \in V : s_i \in \mathsf{int}\{u \in \bigcup_{s\in V} \mathsf{rng} s : s^i_u \in X\}\}, X \subseteq V$.

In what follows we may drop the operations when talking about α dimensional set algebras (whose top elements consists of α-ary sequences) identifying notationally the algebra with its universe. This does not cause any harm since set algebras are uniquely defined by their top element.

A completely additive Boolean algebra with operators is one for which all extra non-Boolean operations preserve arbitrary joins.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathsf{TPCA}_\alpha$. A representation f of $\mathfrak{A}$ is atomic if and only if it is complete. If $\mathfrak{A}$ has a complete representation, then $\mathfrak{Rd}_{pa}\mathfrak{A}$ is completely additive.*

Proof. The first part is like [10]. For the second part replace $\mathfrak{A}$ by its complete representation where $\sum$ is $\bigcup$. It is clear that in such an algebra the operations of substitutions and cylindrifiers are completely additive. ■

By Lemma 4.5 a necessary condition for the existence of complete representations is the condition of atomicity and complete additivity of its CPA reduct. We now prove the harder converse to this result, namely, that when $\mathfrak{A}$ is atomic and $\mathfrak{Rd}_{pa}\mathfrak{A}$ is completely additive, then $\mathfrak{A}$ is completely representable. We note that cylindrifiers are in all cases completely additive.

Theorem 4.6. *Any atomic algebra in* $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathsf{TPCA}_\alpha$ *such that* $\mathfrak{Rd}_{pa}\mathfrak{A}$ *is completely additive, is completely representable.*

Proof. Argument used is like the argument in [27, Theorem 3.10] using a Henkin construction, expressed algebraically by dilating the algebra to large enough dimensions and then forming a Henkin ultrafilter (defined as before) in the dilation, with a very simple topological fact, namely, that in the Stone space of an atomic Boolean algebra principal ultrafilters lie outside sets of *the first category*; these are countable unions of no-where dense sets; so we could always find a principal Henkin ultrafilter from which we build the complete representation.

Let $c \in \mathfrak{A}$ be non-zero. We will find a set algebra $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathsf{TPCA}_\alpha$ and a homomorphism from $f : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{B}$ that preserves arbitrary suprema whenever they exist and also satisfies that $f(c) \neq 0$. Now there exists $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathsf{TPCA}_{\mathfrak{n}}$, $\mathfrak{n}$ a regular cardinal. such that $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha\mathfrak{B}$ and A generates $\mathfrak{B}$ and we can assume that $|\mathfrak{n} \sim \alpha| = |\mathfrak{n}|$. We also have for all $Y \subseteq A$, we have $\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{A}}Y = \mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha\mathfrak{S}g^{\mathfrak{B}}Y$. This dilation also has Boolean reduct isomophic to $F({}^{\mathfrak{n}}\alpha, \mathfrak{A})$, in particular, it is atomic because $\mathfrak{A}$ is atomic. Cylindrifiers are defined on this minimal functional dilation exactly like in theorem 4.1 by restricting to singletions. For all $i < \mathfrak{n}$, we have

$$\mathsf{c}_i p = \sum \mathsf{s}_i^j p \tag{1}$$

This last supremum can be proved to hold using the same reasoning in [5, Theorem 1.6]. Let X be the set of atoms of $\mathfrak{A}$. Since $\mathfrak{A}$ is atomic, then $\sum^{\mathfrak{A}} X = 1$. By $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha\mathfrak{B}$, we also have $\sum^{\mathfrak{B}} X = 1$. Because substitutions are completely additive, by assumption, we have for all $\tau \in {}^{\alpha}\mathfrak{n}$

$$\sum \mathsf{s}^{\mathfrak{B}}_{\bar{\tau}} X = 1. \tag{2}$$

Let S be the Stone space of $\mathfrak{B}$, whose underlying set consists of all Boolean ulltrafilters of $\mathfrak{B}$. Let X^* be the set of principal ultrafilters of $\mathfrak{B}$ (those generated by the atoms). These are isolated points in the Stone topology, and they form a dense set in the Stone topology since $\mathfrak{B}$ is atomic. So we have $X^* \cap T = \emptyset$ for every nowhere dense set T (since principal ultrafilters, which are isolated points in the Stone topology, lie outside nowhere dense sets). For $a \in \mathfrak{B}$, let N_a denote the set of all Boolean ultrafilters containing a. Now for all $\Gamma \subseteq \alpha$, $p \in B$ and $\tau \in {}^{\alpha}\mathfrak{n}$, we have, by the suprema, evaluated in (1) and (2):

$$G_{i,p} = N_{\mathsf{c}_i p} \sim \bigcup_{\tau \in {}^{\alpha}\mathfrak{n}} N_{s_{\bar{\tau}} p} \tag{3}$$

and

$$G_{X,\tau} = S \sim \bigcup_{x \in X} N_{s_{\bar{\tau}} x}. \tag{4}$$

are nowhere dense. Let F be a principal ultrafilter of S containing c. This is possible since $\mathfrak{B}$ is atomic, so there is an atom x below c; just take the ultrafilter generated by x. Then $F \in X^*$, so $F \notin G_{i,p}$, $F \notin G_{X,\tau}$, for every $i \in \alpha$, $p \in A$ and $\tau \in {}^{\alpha}\mathfrak{n}$. Now define for $a \in A$

$$f(a) = \{\tau \in {}^{\alpha}\mathfrak{n} : \mathsf{s}^{\mathfrak{B}}_{\tau} a \in F\}.$$

Then f is a homomorphism from $\mathfrak{A}$ to the full set algebra with unit ${}^{\alpha}\mathfrak{n}$, with interior operators $J(i)$ $i < \alpha$ defined exactly as in theorem 1.1 using all substitutions instead of only finite ones. We have $f(c) \neq 0$ because $Id \in f(c)$. Moreover f is an atomic representation since $F \notin G_{X,\tau}$ for every $\tau \in {}^{\alpha}\mathfrak{n}$, which means that for every $\tau \in {}^{\alpha}\mathfrak{n}$, there exists $x \in X$, such that $\mathsf{s}^{\mathfrak{B}}_{\tau} x \in F$, and so $\bigcup_{x \in X} f(x) = {}^{\alpha}\mathfrak{n}$. We conclude that f is a complete representation. □

Now let CTPCA_{α} be the class of completely representable TPCA_{α}s.

Theorem 4.7. *(1) The class CTPCA_{α} is elementary, and it is axiomatizable by a finite schema in first order logic.*

(2) Let $\mathfrak{Nr} : \mathbf{K} \to \mathsf{TPCA}_{\alpha}$ be the neat reduct functor. Then $\mathfrak{Nr}$ is strongly invertible, namely, there is a functor $G : \mathsf{TPCA}_{\alpha} \to \mathbf{K}$ and natural isomorphisms $\mu : 1_{\mathbf{K}} \to G \circ \mathfrak{Nr}$ and $\epsilon : \mathfrak{Nr} \circ G \to 1_{\mathsf{TPCA}_{\alpha}}$

Proof. Atomicity can be expressed by a first order sentence, and complete additivity can be captured by the following continuum many formulas, that form a single schema. Let $\mathsf{At}(x)$ be the first order formula expressing that x is an atom. That is $\mathsf{At}(x)$ is the formula $x \neq 0 \wedge (\forall y)(y \leq x \to y = 0 \vee y = x)$. For $\tau \in {}^{\alpha}\alpha$, let ψ_{τ} be the formula:

$$y \neq 0 \to \exists x(\mathsf{At}(x) \wedge \mathsf{s}_{\tau} x \neq 0 \wedge \mathsf{s}_{\tau} x \leq y).$$

Let Σ be the set of first order formulas obtained by adding all formulas ψ_{τ} ($\tau \in {}^{\alpha}\alpha$) to the polyadic schema. Then it is esay to show that $\mathsf{CTPCA}_{\alpha} = \mathbf{Mod}(\Sigma)$. The second part follows by using exactly the same reasoning in [27, Theorem 3.4]. □

We can also expand the signature of the ω dimensional algebras studied in [18, 19], whose signature is countable having substitutions coming from a countable *rich* semigroup G, by interior operators with the same equations postulated for TCA_{α}. Denote the resulting variety by $\mathsf{TPA}_{\mathsf{G}}$. Also usual Halmos polyadic algebras can be enriched with such modalities, call the resulting variety TPA_{α}. We get all positive results obtained for TPCA_{α} with almost the same proofs using the techniques in [19, 27, 21, 18]. In particular we have:

Theorem 4.8. *(1) $\mathsf{TPA}_{\mathsf{G}}$ and TPA_{α} have the super amalgamation property*

(2) In each such variety $\mathfrak{A}$ is completely representable if and only if the reduct obtained by discarding interior operators is completely additive.

(3) For such varieties the functor $\mathfrak{Nr}$ (defined like before adapted to the present context) is strongly invertible.

We can add diagonal elements and *relativize semantics* of topological polyadic algebras, getting the variety of *topological cylindric polyadic algebras of dimension* α whose signature is like TPCA_α axiomatized by the set of equations postulated in [6, definition 6.3.7] together with the schema of equations for the interior operators.

Denote this abstract class by TPCEA_α and the concrete class of representable algebras by TGp_α. Then using the same methods adopted her replacing Henkin ultrafilters by what Ferenzci calls *perfect ultrafilters* we get:

Theorem 4.9. *Let $\alpha \geq \omega$. Then the following hold:*

(1) $\mathsf{TGp}_\alpha = \mathsf{TPCEA}_\alpha$; hence TGp_α is a variety that can be axiomatizable by a finite schema of Sahlqvist equations. Furthermore, it is canonical and atom-canonical.

(2) TGp_α has the superamalgamation property

(3) Any atomic algebra in TGp_α has a complete representation. In particular, the class of completely representable TCPEA_αs is elementary.

Sketch. Suppose $\mathfrak{A}$ is such an algebra. Then a dilation can be formed using all available substitititions, so we get $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha\mathfrak{B}$ where $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathsf{TCPEA}_\beta$. However in the process of representation only *admissable substitution on* β are used. A substituition $\tau \in {}^\beta\beta$ is such if $\mathsf{dom}\tau \subseteq \alpha$ and $\mathsf{rng}\tau \cap \alpha = \emptyset$. Call the set of all admissable substitutions adm. Henkin ultrafilters can always be found, but they are modified to give *perfect ultrafilters* in the sense of [29, p.128].

To preserve diaginal elements one factors out the set $\Gamma = \{i \in \beta : \exists j \in \alpha : \mathsf{c}_i\mathsf{d}_{ij} \in G\}$ by the congruence relation $k \sim l$ iff $\mathsf{d}_{kl} \in G$. Then $\Gamma \subseteq \beta$ and the required representation with base $\Gamma/\sim$ is defined via

$$f(a) = \{\bar{\tau} \in {}^\alpha[\Gamma/\sim] : \tau \in \mathsf{adm}, \mathsf{s}^{\mathfrak{B}}_\tau a \in G\},$$

where for each $i \in \alpha$ and $\tau \in \mathsf{adm}$ $\bar{\tau}(i) = \tau(i)/\sim$, witness [29, p. 128]. Next one defines for $p \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $i \in \alpha$ the sets $O_{p,i}$ and the interior operators J_i on the representation as before.

■

5 Summary of results on amalgamation and interpolation

In the next table we summarize our results on classes of algebras in tabular form. This task was done for different classes of cylindric algebras in the recent [14].

In Table 2, we summarize the results we obtained on the interpolation property for the free algebras corresponding to the classes of algebras dealt with in Table 1.

In the top row of Table 1, we find a list of nine different amalgamation and embedding properties (AP and EP for short), together with the definability property ES and at the leftmost column we find a comprehensive list of classes of algebras occupying six rows. At the top of the third column 'strong AP w.r.t rep.' means 'the strong amalgamation property with respect to the class of *representable algebras* in question', while at the top of the fifth column 'AP w.r.t abs.' means the amalgamation property with respect to the class of *abstract algebras*. For example 'strong AP w.r.t to rep' is 'strong AP with respect to RTCA_α' and 'AP w.r.t abs.' is 'AP with respect to TCA_α.'

Table 2 contains a summary of the results involving interpolation of the dimension-restricted free algebras. The rows addressing semisimple, substitution, representable cylindric algebras in Table 1 collapse to just one row in Table 2, since the free algebra coincide for all these classes (they all generate the same variety, namely, RTCA_α).

In more general contexts than topological predicate logic addressed here, the Craig interpolation property ramifies into several different interpolation properties (IP for short). These properties are summarized in the six columns of the uppermost row of Table 2.

Only the first row in the next table deals with finite dimensional algebras of dimension $n > 1$. K denotes any subclass of TCA_n containing the variety of representable algebras. All the **no**'s in this row follow readily from theorem 3.2. Now we clarify the results collected above, stating where can they be found in the text. The last four rows in Table 1 and Table 2 follow from theorems 4.3, 4.8, 4.9. Next we have;

(1) Row two: Here we are dealing with ordinary predicate topological logic which has IP as proved in theorem 1.1. The rest now follow from theorems 1.14 and 3.8.

(2) Row three: All the **no**'s in second row follows from example 1.15. For simple algebras the algebras $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ taken in example 1.15 can be easily chosen to be simple. Though there is a *simple* amalgam, it is *not* dimension complemented. As illustrated in example 1.15, there could not be one.

Table 1:

	strong AP	strong AP w.r.t rep.	AP	AP w.r.t abs.	AP for simple	strong EP	EP	EP for simple algebras	SUP AP	ES
$\mathsf{TRCA}_{\mathsf{n}} \subseteq \mathsf{K}$	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
TLf_α	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
TDc_α	no	yes	no	yes	no	no	no	no	no	yes
TSs_α	no	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	no
TSc_α	no	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	no
RTCA_α	no	no	no	no	yes	yes	yes	yes	no	no
$S\mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha\mathsf{TCA}_{\alpha+k}$	no	no	no	no	yes	?	?	yes	no	no
TCA_α	no	no	no	no	no	yes	no	yes	no	no
TPCA_α	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
TPA_G	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
TPA_α	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
TGp_α	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes

The first and second **yes** follow from theorem 1.14, and the third **yes** is due to the fact that ES follows from the fact that Dc_α has $SUPAP$ w.r.t RTCA_α, resorting to theorem 3.8.

(3) Row four: TSs_α does not have SAP because it does not have ES, by theorem 3.9. In fact, this last theorem takes care of all the no's. The remaining **yes**'s follow from theorem 1.21 and corollary 2.12.

(4) Row five: The results follow like in the previous item. In particular, the **no**'s follow from theorems 3.9 using theorem 3.8.

(5) Row six: The **no**'s except for the last follow from theorem 3.1; the last **no** follows from theorem 3.9. The **yes**'s follow from theorem 1.21 and corollary 2.12.

(6) Row seven. Like row six, except that the various forms of EP for algebras that are not simple remains unsettled. In theorem 3.10 the base algebra is the minimal subalgebra of an algebra obtained by *twisting* a representable algebra, and the other algebra is representable. But twisted algebras do not satisfy the so-called merry go round identities, which algebras in $S\mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha\mathsf{CA}_{\alpha+2}$ do. So this technique does not work for $S\mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha\mathsf{TCA}_{\alpha+k}$ when $k \geq 2$.

(7) Row eight. Note that TCA_α does not have AP with respect to TRCA_α is trivial. One just takes a non-representable algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ and considers the

inclusion maps $i : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A}$ twice, so that we are required to amalgamate $\mathfrak{A}$ over $\mathfrak{A}$ by a representable algebra, which is impossible for the amalgam necessarily contains an isomorphic copy of $\mathfrak{A}$, while any subalgebra of a representable algebra is representable. The **no**'s follow from theorems 3.1, 3.9 and 3.10, and the only **yes** from theorem 1.21.

For TWSc_α all questions involving AP remains unsettled. If any of the conditions in theorem 1.25 hold, then we get a **no** for all such questions, for in this case we get that $\mathsf{TWSc}_\alpha = \mathsf{RTCA}_\alpha$.

In the following table IP is short for interpolation property. The top row addresses all interpolation properties introduced and investigated throughout this paper. IP is the interpolation property, WIP is weak IP, AIP is almost IP, ...etc. The first column addresses K where K is any class between TRCA_n and TCA_n n is finite > 1. The **no**'s in this row follows from theorem 3.2 and corollary 3.3. Wiuthout loss of generality, we consider (countable) free algebras on ω generators.

Notice that IP implies AIP implies WIP, and strong restricted IP implies all other restricted versions of IP.

Table 2:

	IP	AIP	WIP	restricted IP	almost restricted IP	weak restricted IP
$\mathfrak{Fr}_\omega\mathsf{K}$	no	no	no	no	no	no
$\mathfrak{Fr}^\rho_\omega\mathsf{TCA}_\alpha$ which is in TLf_α	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
$\mathfrak{Fr}^\rho_\omega\mathsf{TCA}_\alpha$ which is in TDc_α	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
$\mathfrak{Fr}_\omega\mathsf{RTCA}_\alpha$	no	no	yes	yes	yes	yes
$\mathfrak{Fr}_\omega\mathsf{S}\mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha\mathsf{TCA}_{\alpha+\mathsf{k}}$	no	no	yes	?	?	?
$\mathfrak{Fr}_\omega\mathsf{TCA}_\alpha$	no	yes	no	no	no	no
$\mathfrak{Fr}_\omega\mathsf{TPCA}_\alpha$	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
$\mathfrak{Fr}_\omega\mathsf{TPG}$	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
$\mathfrak{Fr}_\omega\mathsf{TPA}_\alpha$	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
$\mathfrak{Fr}_\omega\mathsf{TGp}_\alpha$	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes

All positive theorems on the free algebras, addressing cases other than RTCA_α follow from theorems 1.1 and 4.3.

Concerning the RTCA_α case, the positive results follow from theorems 2.12, 2.10 and the negative results follow from theorems 3.1 and 3.10. The various forms of restricted IP remain unsettled for $\mathfrak{Fr}_\omega S\mathfrak{Nr}_\alpha\mathsf{TCA}_{\alpha+k}$.

References

[1] Andréka, H. Comer, C., Madarász, J., Németi,I. and Sayed Ahmed, T. *Epimorphisms in cylindric algebras.* Algebra Universalis, 61 3-4 261-281 2009

[2] H. Andréka, M. Ferenczi and I. Németi (Editors), **Cylindric-like Algebras and Algebraic Logic**, Bolyai Society Mathematical Studies and Springer-Verlag, **22** (2012).

[3] Comer S.D. *Classes without the amalgamation property* Pacific journal of Mathematics, **28**(2)(1969), p.309-318.

[4] A. Daigneault, *Freedom in polyadic algebras and two theorems of Beth and Craig.* Michigan Math. J. **11**(1963), p. 129-135.

[5] A. Daigneault and J.D. Monk, *Representation Theory for Polyadic algebras.* Fund. Math. **52**(1963), p.151-176.

[6] M. Ferenczi, *A new representation theory: Representing cylindric-like algebras by relativized set algebras*, in [2] p. 135-162.

[7] L. Henkin, J.D. Monk and A.Tarski, *Cylindric Algebras Part I.* North Holland, 1971.

[8] L. Henkin, J.D. Monk and A. Tarski, *Cylindric Algebras Part II.* North Holland, 1985.

[9] H. Herrlich, G. Strecker, *Category theory.* Allyn and Bacon, Inc, Boston (1973)

[10] Hirsch R., Hodkinson, I. *Complete representations in algebraic logic* Journal of Symbolic Logic, 62, 3 (1997) 816-847

[11] J. Madarász *Interpolation and Amalgamation; Pushing the Limits. Part I* Studia Logica, **61**, (1998) p. 316-345.

[12] J. Madárasz and T. Sayed Ahmed, *Amalgamation, interpolation and epimorphisms.* Algebra Universalis **56** (2) (2007), p. 179 - 210,

[13] J. Madárasz and T. Sayed Ahmed, *Neat reducts and amalgamation in retrospect, a survey of results and some methods. Part 2: Results on amalgamation.* Logic Journal of IGPL **17**, (2009), p.755-802.

[14] J. Madárasz and T. Sayed Ahmed *Amalgamation, interpolation and epimorphisms in algebraic logic.* In [2], p.91-104

[15] L. Maksimova *Amalgamation and interpolation in normal modal logics.* Studia Logica **50**(1991) p.457-471.

[16] G. Metcalfe, F. Montagna , and C. TsiNakis *Amalgamation and interpolation in ordered algebras.* (2012) pre-print.

[17] D. Pigozzi, *Amalgamation, congruence extension, and interpolation properties in algebras.* Algebra Universalis. **1**(1971), p.269-349.

[18] I. Sain *Searching for a finitizable algebraization of first order logic.* 8, Logic Journal of IGPL. Oxford University, Press (2000) no 4, p.495–589.

[19] T. Sayed Ahmed, *On Amalgamation of Reducts of Polyadic Algebras.* Algebra Universalis **51** (2004), p.301-359.

[20] T. Sayed Ahmed, *An interpolation theorem for first order logic with infinitary predicates.* Logic journal of IGPL, **15**(1) (2007), p.21-32

[21] T. Sayed Ahmed, *The class of polyadic algebras has the super amalgamation property* Mathematical Logic Quarterly **56**(1)(2010), p.103-112

[22] T. Sayed Ahmed, *Classes of algebras without the amalgamation property.* Logic Journal of IGPL, **19** (2011), p. 87-104.

[23] T. Sayed Ahmed *Representability and amalgamation in Heyting polyadic algebras*, Studia Mathematica Hungarica, **48**(4)(2011), p. 509-539.

[24] T. Sayed Ahmed, *Amalgamation in universal algebraic logic.* Stududia Mathematica Hungarica, **49** (1) (2012), p. 26-43.

[25] T. Sayed Ahmed, *Three interpolation theorems for typeless logics.* Logic Journal of $IGPL$ **20**(6) (2012), p.1001-1037.

[26] T. Sayed Ahmed, *Epimorphisms are not surjective even in simple algebras.* Logic Journal of $IGPL$, **20**(1) (2012), p. 22-26.

[27] T. Sayed Ahmed, *Neat embeddings as adjoint situations.* Published on Line, Synthese. DOI 10.1007/s11229-013-0344-7.

[28] T. Sayed Ahmed *Completions, complete representations and omitting types.* In [2].

[29] T. Sayed Ahmed, *Neat reducts and neat embeddings in cylindric algebras.* In [2].

[30] T. Sayed Ahmed *Results on neat embeddings with applications to algebraizable extensions of first order logic.* Submitted.

[31] T. Sayed Ahmed *An algebraic approach to topological logic and Chang's modal logic using cylindric algebras, Part 1:* **Topological logic via cylindric algebras.**

[32] T. Sayed Ahmed *An algebraic approach to topological logic and Chang's modal logic using cylindric algebras, Part 3*
Some more algebra; finite dimensional topological algebras

[33] T. Sayed Ahmed *An algebraic approach to topological logic and Chang's modal logic using cylindric algebras, Parts 3-4:* **Logical consequences.**

[34] T. Sayed Ahmed *Results on neat embeddings with applications to algebraizable extensions of first order logic.* Submitted.

[35] Simon *Non-representable algebras of relations.* Ph.D dissertation, Mathematical institute, of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences (1997).